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Abstract—A novel feeding method for a dielectric resonator (DRA) array antenna is introduced. Unlike in a corporate feed network, power dividers or quarter-wave transformers are not needed in the new feeding scheme as the design is based on the standing-wave concept. Consequently, the feed network is greatly simplified and undesired spurious radiation in the feeding network is minimized. The simulated and measured results are in good agreement. A 3D printer is utilized where the entire array structure is fabricated as a single piece with a dielectric material of Polylactic Acid (PLA). The 3D printer provides a cost-efficient, simple and rapid manufacturing process.

Index Terms—Array antenna feed, dielectric resonator antenna (DRA), planar array, standing wave, 3D printer.

I. INTRODUCTION

DIELECTRIC resonator antennas (DRAs) have recently been attracting attention in the literature due to the fact that they have a number of advantageous features, including excitation and fabrication easiness, low weight, low loss, and versatility [1]–[3]. Although DRAs have been widely used for various applications at microwave frequencies [4]–[6], they typically exhibit relatively low gain [7], which unfavorably affects the DRA advantages. One straightforward approach to enhance the gain is to assemble DRAs to form an array that is capable of achieving relatively high gains. Corporate feed networks are commonly utilized in DRA arrays [8]–[10]. A conventional traveling-wave corporate-fed network consists of numerous microstrip transmission lines to feed the array elements equally in magnitude and phase for high-gain applications. With such a feeding scheme, losses in the transmission lines can be substantial, especially at higher frequencies [11], [12], due to microstrip discontinuities in the feeding network [13]. So eliminating them is required to achieve better efficiencies for the high-frequency applications [14], [15]. As an alternative feeding mechanism, a substrate integrated waveguide (SIW) has been utilized to feed DRA arrays [16]–[18]. Although SIW structures exhibit relatively high radiation efficiency, it is likely to have considerable leakage losses which, in turn, will lead to wave attenuation, especially in large planar array structures [19], [20]. Another feeding scheme to excite a DRA array is utilizing a dielectric image guide (DIG) that feeds RF power from the launcher to the resonators [21], [22]. In this feeding method, a considerable back radiation is observed because the aperture radiates in both directions. Additionally, rectangular waveguides are required to launch the DIG which adds to the array size and the overall cost [23]. In this paper, a novel configuration for DRA arrays is proposed, in which a formation of the standing wave within the array structure is proven to be an effective excitation mechanism to alleviate such mentioned losses. A standing wave is created by two waves traveling in opposite directions. A cavity can be in a resonant state when the multiple-bouncing waves become constructively interfered [24], [25]. The antenna-element design process is given in Section II. The simulated and measured results of array antennas are presented in Section III with comparison to other DRA feeding approaches, followed by concluding remarks in Section IV.

II. ANTENNA ELEMENT

The design process starts with a rectangular DRA (RDRA) where a TE_{115} mode is excited at $f_r = 5.6$ GHz to get a higher gain than those of lower-order modes. The initial dimensions of the RDRA are obtained using the following equation along with the design curves provided in [7]:

$$L_r = \frac{F_c}{2\pi f_0 \sqrt{\varepsilon_r}}$$

(1)

where $L_r$ represents the RDRA length normal to the feeding line, $c$ is the speed of light, $f_0$ is the resonant frequency, $\varepsilon_r$ is the relative permittivity of the dielectric resonator, and $F$ is the normalized frequency obtained from the referenced curves [7]. Then, the height of the RDRA is further increased for the TE_{115} mode. The optimum dimensions of the RDRA are $L_{r1} = 25$ mm, $W_{r1} = 25$ mm, and $H_{r1} = 60.5$ mm, and is made of PLA material with relative permittivity $\varepsilon_r = 2.5$ and loss tangent 0.005 [26], [27]. It is mounted at the center of Arlon 25N substrate with relative permittivity $\varepsilon_{sub} = 3.38$, loss tangent 0.0015, and a height of 0.508 mm. The antenna is fed with a coupling slot engraved on the top layer (ground plane) under the DRA element and a transmission line attached to the bottom surface of the substrate. The input impedance
of the antenna can easily be matched by varying the slot dimensions and the matching stub length \( L_{stub} \) which is defined from the slot center to the end of the microstrip line (open-ended).

High Frequency Structure Simulator (HFSS) [28] is used to obtain the simulated results. At the resonant frequency \( f_r = 5.6 \text{ GHz} \), excellent impedance matching is achieved with a wide impedance bandwidth of about 26.5%. The antenna produces a peaked gain of 8.5 dBi.

III. ARRAY DESIGN AND MEASUREMENTS

A. Three-element Linear Array

The proposed excitation mechanism for DRA arrays is based on the concept of standing-wave. It is important to connect the array elements with bridges so that a standing wave is formed within the array cavity. Low-profile dielectric bridges are introduced between the array elements, as depicted in Fig. 1. Due to the variation in the boundary conditions between the central element (labeled as 1) and other elements (labeled as 2), the width \( W_{vb} \) and height \( H_{vb} \) of the latter are further optimized for maximum gain. The optimum dimensions of the radiating elements (number 2) are \( L_{r2} = 25 \text{ mm}, W_{r2} = 20.75 \text{ mm}, \) and \( H_{r2} = 65 \text{ mm} \). The width of the low-profile dielectric bridges \( W_{eb} \) is chosen so that the spacing along the y-axis between neighboring elements is approximately one and half guided wavelengths \( \lambda_g \) due to the fact that the fields at the front and back walls (normal to the feed line) of the radiating resonators have about maximum magnitudes with opposite phases.

The dimensions of the bridges are \( L_{eb} = 20 \text{ mm}, W_{eb} = 65 \text{ mm}, \) and \( H_{eb} = 8 \text{ mm} \) and they are made of the same material as of the radiating elements. They are covered by metal plates on both the bottom side (ground plane of the Arlon substrate) and the upper side (metallic covers) to confine the wave excitations between the conducting surfaces. The sidewalls of the bridges are kept open for design convenience and easy fabrication. The contribution of the openings to the far fields is relatively small since the dominant electric-field component for radiation has phase reversals within each sidewall surface. The situation is similar to the case of the non-radiating edges in a microstrip antenna [29]. Additionally, the heights of the bridges are much smaller than those of the other radiation structures in the array, affecting the radiated fields far less.

![Fig. 1. Three-element standing-wave linear DRA array.](image1)

![Fig. 2. Simulated \( S_{11} \) and gain vs frequency of the linear DRA array.](image2)

The simulated reflection coefficient shows excellent impedance matching over a wide frequency range from 4.7 GHz to 6.3 GHz as shown in Fig. 2. A maximum realized gain of 11.2 dBi is observed with a 3-dB bandwidth of 16% from 5.27 GHz to 6.17 GHz. Such gain enhancement justifies the standing-wave feeding technique for the linear array. The standing-wave feed scheme is also applicable to arrays with lower-order modes in the dielectric radiating elements including the fundamental mode of \( \text{TE}_{111} \), where most geometrical parameters remain the same. For example, in the array designs with two different modes of \( \text{TE}_{115} \) and \( \text{TE}_{111} \), the only difference is that the height of the dielectric elements of \( \text{TE}_{111} \) is about one-third of that of \( \text{TE}_{115} \) while all other dimensions are the same. The gain with \( \text{TE}_{111} \) is reduced by 4.5 dBi compared with that of \( \text{TE}_{115} \), which is in line with the theoretical evaluation of the two cases [30].

B. Nine-element Planar Array

To form a \( 3 \times 3 \) planar DRA array, the proposed linear array configuration is duplicated twice. Six dielectric bridges horizontally connecting each element with its neighboring ones are introduced as illustrated in Fig. 3. Since the elements on the array edges are not the same as the central element in terms of electromagnetic interaction, the dimensions of the peripheral

![Fig. 3. A \( 3 \times 3 \) standing-wave planar array.](image3)
The electric field distribution within the bridges.

Fig. 4. The electric field distribution within the bridges.

The sensitivity effect of geometrical parameters on the gain of the proposed array.

Fig. 5. Sensitivity effect of geometrical parameters on the gain of the proposed array.

elements have to be adjusted to achieve optimum gain. The length of elements number 3 ($L_{r3}$), as well as the width of elements number 2 ($W_{r2}$), is slightly optimized. The optimized dimensions of the radiating elements are $L_{r4} = L_{r3} = 19.75 \text{ mm}$, $W_{r4} = W_{r2} = 20 \text{ mm}$, $H_{r4} = H_{r3} = H_{r2} = 65 \text{ mm}$. The spacing along the x-axis between neighboring elements is approximately a half-guided wavelength ($\lambda_y$) due to the fact that the fields at the right and left walls (parallel to the feed line) of the radiating elements for endfire radiation have almost the same magnitudes but opposite phases. Figure 4 shows the modes excited in the bridges which are TEM$_{y}$ and TE$_{x10}$ to form a standing wave in the y-direction and x-direction respectively. The optimized dimensions of the horizontal bridges are $L_{hb} = 30 \text{ mm}$, $W_{hb} = 20 \text{ mm}$, and $H_{hb} = 2 \text{ mm}$. As in the linear array, the array excitation is simplified to feed only the central element.

The design tolerance of the proposed planar standing-wave array is investigated prior to fabrication. A BCN3D Sigma 3D printer was utilized to fabricate the prototype, which has an accuracy of $\pm 20 \mu m$. The effect of variation of the dimensions on the antenna gain is shown in Fig. 5. For simplicity, the effect of only four main parameters that have considerable influence on the array gain is shown. Negligible gain variations are less than $\pm 0.2 \text{ dBi}$ which corresponds to an error of $\pm 4.5\%$.

The simulated and measured reflection coefficients and gains are shown in Fig. 6. The measurements show a very large impedance bandwidth of 35% with a maximum gain of about 15 dBi. It is worth noting here that, when the metallic covers over the bridges are removed, the resultant gain significantly drops (around 5.5 dBi) although the reflection coefficient remains almost the same. Such reduced gain is due to altered field distribution within the array structure after removing the metallic covers over the bridges, which signifies the roles of the bridges in the standing-wave array design. The measured radiation patterns are in good agreement with the simulated as shown in Fig. 7. The measured cross-polarization levels are relatively low. The radiation efficiency is better than 90% for both the linear and planar array near the resonant frequency (Fig. 8). Table I presents a comparison of the proposed standing-wave feeding method with other state-of-the-art techniques for DRA arrays. The proposed feeding technique has three-main attractive characteristics. Firstly, the high and almost constant radiation efficiencies, $92 \pm 1\%$ for the linear array and $88 \pm 3\%$ for the planar array, compare favorably with those of the recently reported feeding schemes [10], [16], [21].

Secondly, from the design complexity and cost point of view, the proposed feeding scheme offers a much more simplified feeding network compared to other available methods as the proposed neither needs any tapered waveguide and transitions as in DIG nor hundreds of metallic vias as in SIW nor power dividers / quarter-wave transformers as in corporate
feeds. Moreover, the entire proposed structure is fabricated as a single piece with a 3D printer and the alignment process of each individual resonator is avoided. Consequently, the fabrication cost is substantially reduced. Finally, from the gain point of view, it can be seen from Table I that the currently presented feeding provides higher gain with even a smaller number of elements compared to the other standard feeding techniques.

### IV. Conclusion

In this paper, a novel feeding technique for DRA arrays based on the formation of a standing wave within the array cavity is introduced. The proposed designs offer a simplified feeding network with a single conventional aperture-coupled scheme that feeds only one array element (in this case the central dielectric slab). All other radiating elements are excited by the central dielectric resonator through a high order standing wave formed within the antenna cavity with a number of bridges. Since the array antenna is printed as a single structure, the alignment problem of array elements is eliminated and, hence, easy fabrication process results. Good agreement between the simulated and measured results has been observed. For the 9-element planar array, relatively high radiation efficiency of nearly 90% was obtained over a 3-dB gain bandwidth of 7% with a measured peak gain of about 15 dBi.
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