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Superficial oscillation as an identifier of phenomena governing dynamics of solid–gas fluidized systems
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Abstract

The evolution of the volume of a fluidized bed solid–gas over time is measured by the oscillation of its surface. It is assumed that the system is governed by the pressure gradient between the plenum and the surface; the latter is considered as the reference.

The surface oscillation is measured with a laterally located CCD video camera such that it monitors the flattest portion of the surface and records its coordinates. Simultaneously obtain all the phenomena that regulate the hydrodynamics of the bed, the entering bubbles, coalesce, split, increase their volume adiabatically, and explode on the surface. The gas flow and the weight of fluidized material are studied. The time series of the surface oscillation is analysed in the frequency domain and the phase space. The frequencies obtained are approximately between 0.6 Hz and 1.8 Hz. The entropy values behaves linearly proportional to the total number of bubbles.
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1 Introduction

The fluidized bed (FB) can be seen it a flow retention device comprising two components; one of these is rigid (a perforated plate), and the other component is dynamical (a bed of interacting solid particles). The perforate plate distributes the fluid and supports the particles; therefore, it is located at the bottom. The system operates because it is subject to a pressure gradient; the pressure on the upper surface of the bed (generally, the atmospheric pressure) can be taken as the pressure reference point. As a result the good mass and heat transfer properties of FB’s facilitate the interaction between the phases and explains its use in industry to act as catalytic reactor, granulator, dryer, or boiler generating energy from fossil fuels.

The characterization of fluidized bed dynamics have drawn the research effort of a broad number of researchers trying to understand the physics of the dynamics behind the measured signals. Thus, the first attempts focus on properties likely to be measured and which where apparently global dynamic bed properties such as the frequency of the pressure fluctuation measured in the plenum were analysed using statistical and frequency analysis tools. Although numerous authors have measured it [1, 2], it is not fairly global property because it could miss information regarding the interactions and growing mechanism of the bubbles inside the bed. Accordingly, the pressure in the plenum should oscillate because of bubble generation process and the pressure fluctuation resulting from the pressure gradient between the distributor and the upper surface. Moreover, there are a huge literature that uses local in-bed measures such as pressure fluctuation, capacity and electrical resistance measurement to information about the number and size of bubbles and bubble behaviour Cheremisinoff [3], Geldart [4], Werther [5], van Ommen et al. [6], Aghbashlo et al. [7], and Sun et al. [8].

This research is focused on monitoring the long term behaviour of fluidized beds. Accordingly, the fluidization process can be seen it as phenomenon governed by the pressure gradients that can be affected by the diameter and velocity of the bubbles, driving the dynamics of the system. In order to measure the variation in bed volume over time, the fluctuations of the bed surface have been collected from a FB system consisting of a 2D solid–gas fluidized bed and a computer vision solution for laterally inspecting the surface of the bed [9, 10]. The measured system used is both global and adaptive, since it is able to adjust the measurement area to sift out the bubble bursting component from the top surface fluctuation measure. The subsequent time series analysis are in agreement with previous results report in literature but lacks of spurious information originated by the local bubble bursting phenomena.

1.1.1 Tools to analyse the time series of bed height
According to the interpretation of the FB that has been proposed, the analysis in the frequency domain and in the state space should be used as the tools.

1.1.2 Frequency domain

This analysis is carried out using the Fourier transform and is aimed at obtaining the dominant frequencies present in the time series and assigning them to the several physical phenomena. This analysis has also been applied to identify fluidization regimes comparing normalized power spectra [11-13], to investigate the dynamics of bubbles [14,15] and to monitor in real time the beds’ hydrodynamics [16].

1.1.3 State space analysis

Numerous authors have explained the low-dimensional dynamics that gas–solid fluidized systems apparently exhibit, using state space analysis. This method of analysis first reconstructs the attractors in an embedded phase space and then measures the properties of the attractor, such as the correlation dimension and the Kolmogorov entropy (KE). In addition, the state space analysis has been successfully applied in several fields of fluidization engineering such as modelling [17], control [18, 19], and scale-up [20].

Correlation dimension

It represents the spatial unit of measurement of the attractor, $D_2$, and it is obtained by calculating the spatial correlation between random points on the reconstructed attractor. It was proposed by Grassberger and Procaccia [21]. Subsequently, other researchers described calculation methods based on the aforementioned authors work [22-23]. In our case, the attractor is first reconstructed in an embedding dimension equal to 10 previously selected through a false neighbour analysis [24]; a time delay of one was also used, and the interpoint distance was computed using the maximum norm [25].

Kolmogorov entropy

Given the method to construct and represent the attractor, whereas $D_2$ is the spatial unit of the attractor, the KE is the temporal unit. Thus, this parameter measures the average degree of uncertainty one experiences by making a new measurement, in spite of availability of knowledge of its history [26]. It expresses the effect that several past measurements exert on the current measure and reflects the rate of information loss by the system (unpredictability). That's why when dealing with periodic, completely deterministic data, KE is equal to zero, when the character of time series is random the entropy is infinite and consequently, deterministic chaotic
phenomena exhibit a positive KE. There are several methods to estimate KE from experimental time series \([26, 27]\); the method used here is based on the maximum likelihood method \([28]\).

2 Experimental apparatus and operating procedure

The experimental set up has been described elsewhere \([9,10]\). Briefly, the system consists of a 2D solid–gas fluidized bed and a computer vision system for laterally inspecting the surface of the bed (Figure 1).

Glass ballotini of 420–500 μm and \(\rho_p = 2630 \text{ kg/m}^3\) was fluidized with air at ambient conditions and 60 % moisture in order to prevent the formation of electric charge by friction with the surface of the column. The gas fluidization was measured by a set of three rotameters and its humidity by a hygrometer (Testo 635). The column was made of methyl methacrylate, 1.0 (height) \(\times\) 0.2 (width) \(\times\) 0.009 (depth) m; it had a perforated plate distributor with orifices of \(3 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^2\), which give an open area of 0.28%. The weights and gas flow of fluidization are presented in Table 1.

The computer vision system was a CCD video camera that could be moved vertically by means of a positioning system (PS). The CCD camera used was a Sony XC-75CE with a sensing element of 582 (horizontal dimension) \(\times\) 752 (vertical dimension) pixels; the size of each pixel was 8.6 \(\times\) 8.3 μm. A strobe lighting device (LD), which operated in synchrony with the camera, illuminated the back of the bed. The camera was laterally to the bed placed, 0.36 m. It could monitor a surface such as the ABCD area in the figure whose measure unit is \(P_x = 216 \text{ μm}\) and \(P_y = 208 \text{ μm}\). The capture velocity is 25 images/s, and the images are binarized at a threshold that can be selected manually or automatically. The CCD was connected to a PC that regulated its operation using customized software.

The PS has the purpose of enabling the CCD to permanently "see" the surface of the bed; it was focused laterally. For a specific static bed height and fluidization rate, the surface will preferably oscillate in a certain region; therefore, the CCD is previously placed in the optimal position so that all the values attained by the height enter within its field of vision. This is achieved with an automated RoboCylinder ERC-SA6, which is connected to a PC and can move the camera at a velocity 0.001–0.100 m/s with a stroke of 0.45 m and a resolution of \(10^{-5}\) m.

The objective of the software that regulated the CCD was to make the vision system to examine the FB–air interface; that is, when it inspected the upper surface of the bed, it identified the best horizontal segment and recorded its position. This was performed using a pattern rectangle whose area was split horizontally into two regions: the upper one with clear pixels and the lower
one with dark pixels; it constantly examined the ABCD view field, searching for the region that most closely matched the pattern.

Briefly, the operation procedure was as follows:

1) Pattern generation: its dimensions, namely, width and height \((w \times h)\) are decided and introduced by the software; the image of the corresponding rectangle appears on the monitor.

2) The ratio between the upper and lower regions of the pattern (light/dark pixels; e.g., 40/60) were decided and introduced by the software.

3) Maintaining the bed static, the CCD was shifted to a position such that the bed was visible according to the determined ratio, and the image was recorded.

4) Measured the bed height over time: the bed was fluidized, and during the experiment, which consumed 27 min (equivalent to 40 000 data), the region of the bed that best matched the pattern was captured. The position coordinates of this bed region and the degree of pattern matching were recorded at the established frequency (25 Hz).

More specific handling details can be observed in the two aforementioned items [15, 35].

The most preferred facilities for hydrodynamic measurements of fluidized systems are 3D cylindrical beds. However, to improve the capture of surface oscillation, we decided to slow down the phenomenon operating with 2D beds. In these beds, the generation, coalescence, splitting, and explosion of bubbles continue even when the dynamics of bubbles was slowed by the friction with the walls.

3 Results and discussion

The variation in the bed volume, measured as height, for different operating conditions are presented in Figures 2 and 3; they illustrate the effects of the gas flow and the weight of fluidized material, respectively. It is observed that in all the cases, the time signal includes the repetitive phenomenon of the entrance/exit of the bubbles and the irregularities that represent the coalescence, splitting, and adiabatic expansion of the bubbles. Therefore, the signal was analysed in the frequency domain and in the phase space.

3.1 Analysis in the frequency domain

The power spectral density function was obtained from FFT analysis of the data of height fluctuation over time; the spectra obtained are shown in Figure 4. Previously, to verify that the
FFT was representative of the trial, the calculation was performed for different samples of 1024 data extracted at random from throughout the time series, and the results were compared with each other; in all the cases, the values of the main frequency, $F_p$, were fairly close (standard deviation/mean)$F_p < 3.0\%$, when the calculation was repeated 10 times. The figure shows that $F_p$ decreased from (a) to (c) (1.53, 1.09, and 0.65 Hz, respectively); this in turn corresponds to an increase in height or its equivalent the bed weight, and a decrease in the fluidization gas. In conclusion, at least one of the two variables influenced the phenomenon.

The reduction in blur of the spectrums from (a) to (c) could be because $u_f$ (and therefore the agitation) decreases. Assuming that the main frequency represented the oscillation of the bed surface and considering that the height in case (a) was small (i.e., the phenomena occurring in the distributor were detected on the surface), the second main frequency shown in (a) could represent the bubble inlet to the bed. In (c), another second main frequency appears, which could represent the incipient slugging produced because now, $L/D$ was higher than those for the other two cases considered.

The values of the first $F_p$ depending on $W$ and $u_f$ are shown in Figure 5; it is observed that

1) The frequency of bed volume variation increased marginally with increase in the flow of the fluidization gas when the weight of fluidized material was constant. This is shown in Figure 2 for four specific cases; the figure shows that when the flow (or the fluidizing gas pressure that caused it) increased, the entry/exit of bubbles accelerated. Furthermore, Figure 2 shows that for experiments (a) and (b) that were carried out with an identical quantity of particles, case (b) exhibited a marginally higher frequency, a larger amplitude (i.e., the size of the bubbles is larger), and a higher most probable height; this implies that the holdup increased. In the same figure, experiments (c) and (d) exhibit a behaviour similar as that of (a) and (b).

2) The frequency decreased with increase in the weight of the fluidized material when the flow rate was constant (Figure 5). The phenomenon, which is illustrated in detail in Figure 3, could be explained considering that the distance and time bubble ascension from the distributor to the surface increased. The figure also that the amplitude (size of bubbles) is similar, which could be because when the weight was increased, the pressure in the holes distributor increased and the formation size, $d_{50}$, decreased. That is, the sizes were similar because the largest increase in volume by adiabatic expansion offset the lower $d_{50}$.

The observed similar effects on the bed weight and fluidization velocity on the frequency has been previously reported in literature by Kage et al. [29,30], Lirag et al. [1], and Fan et al. [2]
from pressure fluctuation signals collected in the plenum and in various positions within the bed. However, it is worth to point out that in contrast to pressure fluctuation signals, in this research the dynamic behind the collected time series is clearly identified.

3.2 Analysis in the phase space

As mentioned previously, the suitable analysis tool of the state space is the attractor because it contains the dynamics of the phenomenon. Before the attractors were reconstructed, the data was re-escalated by applying the singular value decomposition (SVD) or principal component analysis.

The spectrum of eigenvalues for different experimental conditions when the gas velocity and quantity of fluidized material changed is shown in Figures 6 and 7, respectively. For all these, background noise appeared for embedding dimensions larger than 4–6. In the first case, with $W$ constant (Figure 6), there was a marginal increase (from 4 to 6) in the values of the variables containing the dynamics when the flow of the fluidizing gas was increased. Moreover, when the static bed height increased from 292.87 mm to 443.70 mm (Figure 7), the background noise is reached earlier, changing the aforementioned variables from 5 to 4. Thus, the number of degrees of freedom of the phenomenon was similar for all the experiments although the behaviour of the fluidized system remains, the fluidization conditions favours the formation of bubbles, their collisions and the explosion on the surface, whereas the increase in the $L/D$ ratio originated the well-known tendency to form slugs. These results agree with those of several authors and indicate that most of the information remains in the first two or three axes.

The attractors represented in the space of three components are presented in Figure 8. It is observed that when the velocity is low, its geometry resembles that of a "boat" whereas the aspect is inverted when the gas flow increases. As expected, the size of the space occupied by the attractor also grows moderately as the flow increases; this is apparent from the axis scales.

Case (a) is for velocity marginally higher than the minimum fluidization ($u_{mf} = 0.418$ cm/s). The reason is that higher gas flow lead to larger erupting bubbles and consequently, there is an increase in the amplitude of the measured signals (Figure 2). Figure 9 shows another series for a larger weight of fluidized material ($1.567$ kg). The trend is similar to the previous one, and it is apparent that the space occupied by the attractors of the second series was moderately larger than the first.

More conventional is to analyse the two principal components resulting from the SVD decomposition, i.e., in the 2D plane, the effect of the bed weight is also apparent. Thus, the function PC2 vs. PC1 in Figure 10a resembles an arrowhead to the right with the end at the origin.
of the PC2 scale; subsequently, when it evolved according to the conditions of (b) and (d), the figure appears to have rotated in the clockwise direction, as a result of rising the gas flow and consequently promote a more uniform fluidization conditions. It can be observed that the aforementioned arrowhead passes to the lower right part of the plane PC2 vs. PC1.

**Correlation dimension**

As mentioned earlier, a method to measure the attractor is to determine $D_2$. Its evolution with the fluidization gas flow rate when the bed was bubbling is presented in Figure 11a. It is observed that $D_2$ grew from 1–3 for flows moderately higher than $u_{mf}$ (for this material is 0.422 m/s) up to 4.0-4.5 while it was in clear bubbling. Figures 12a–d shows that for higher values of the $L/D$ ratio, once complete bubbling was attained, the dimension was maintained in a range of values close to 4.0. This is in agreement with the attractor size predicted by the eigenvalue analysis. In all the cases, before the correlation dimension attained the quasi-constant value, there was a flow range in which visual observation revealed isolated bubbling, which was approximately 10% above the $u_{mf}$ for both $L/D$ lower, and narrower for the three $L/D$ remaining. For flow rates larger than those of the figures, it was also visually observed that the system tended to exhibit slugging. However, in agreement with previous result previously reported in literature, thought the noisy $D2$ can detect dynamic changes, and it is useful as for the eigenvalues spectra giving a measure of the problem size (dynamic scales), the dynamical link is still unclear.

**Kolmogorov entropy**

The KE values are shown in Figures 11b and Figure 13). It can be observed that when the bed is operating in bubbling regime, KE gets values close to 6.0. This value is in agreement with the values obtained from the entropy model obtaining by applying the model of Schouten et al. [31] (Figure 13).

$$K\left(\frac{\text{bits}}{s}\right) = 19.3 \cdot (u_f - u_{mf})^{0.4} \cdot \left(\frac{D_T}{H_{SB}}\right)$$

The entropy model reported in [31] assumes is linearly proportional to the total number of bubbles that erupt at the total bed surface per unit of time (information loss). The figure 13 compares the result provided by the model using both the Darton et al. [32] and Mori and Wen [33] bubble models. It can be observed that in agreement to the previous result reported in [31] the predicted values for the entropy are higher than the experimental values (figure 13a).
contrast the model reasonable fits the measured entropies for deeper beds (figure 13c and figure 13d). Consequently, the entropy behaves linearly proportional to the total number of bubbles that erupt at the bed surface. The fact the entropy value could be describe by a simple bubble eruption model as the one described in Schouten et al.[31] confirm that the laterally inspecting bed surface measurement technique used in this research is global. Consequently, it is able to recover the long term dynamic information carried by the erupting bubbles.

**Conclusions**

The research assumes that bubbling fluidized systems should be considered as a multiphase gas-solid media, where the gas enters, giving rise to bubbles, and exits from. Therefore, the only effective method to measure the long-term behaviour is the volume variation.

The analysis of the periodicity of this variable by using the FFT revealed that for a specified quantity of fluidized material, when the pressure gradient between the plenum and the zero \((u_l)\) increased, the volume oscillation frequency increased marginally; moreover, \(F_P\) decreased as weight increased.

Occasionally, two \(F_P\) appeared, which could be interpreted considering the extremes of the range of variables studied: a) At the lower end of the weight range, i.e., at low \(L/D\), the volume change by the bubble entry is expressed by a second main frequency and b) at the end of the interval corresponding to high \(L/D\) and low \(u_l\), another second main frequency indicates that slugging begins to occur.

That the attractor could be constructed and its properties measured verify that the dynamics of a few of the phenomena assumed when the model was assumed to be “chaotic”. It is reasonable to consider that the nonlinear dynamics corresponds to the growth of bubbles by adiabatic decompression. Thus, the singular value decomposition establishes that there were between 4 and 6 independent variables, dynamical scales, in which most of the information was concentrated.

The evolution of the attractors’ geometry with the variation in \(u_l\) and \(W\) verifies the effect of both. This fact has been indicated in Figure 10, where the results for the projections in the 2D plane are presented; it also occurs for the 3D projections in Figures 8 and 9. Thus, the change in appearance of the attractor would represent that the expansion velocity of the bubbles that are closest to the distributor gas is low when the pressure exerted by the bed is high, and vice versa.
The entropy value behaves linearly proportional to the total number of bubbles that erupt at the bed surface and could be described by the bubble eruption model, which confirms that the laterally inspecting bed surface measurement technique used in this research is global. The close relationship between the eruption of bubbles and the behaviour of the fluidized bed system emphasize the role of the pressure gradient existing between the plenum and the bed surface for the understanding of the dynamics of gas/solid fluidized beds.

Acknowledgements

Funding: This research was funded by the Spanish Ministry of Research through Project CTQ2006-15525-C02-02.

Notation

\( d_b \) = bubble diameter, cm
\( d_{b0} \) = bubble diameter immediately above gas distributor, cm
\( d_p \) = particle diameter, \( \mu m \)
\( F_p \) = principal frequency in the power spectra, Hz
\( FB \) = fluidized bed
\( H_{SB} \) = static bed height, cm
\( h \) = pattern height to examine flatness of the bed surface, \( \mu m \)
\( k \) = eigenvalue index, (-)
\( L/D \) = height/width ratio of the bed, (-)
\( P_{op-comp} \) = operation pressure of compressor, Pa

\( u_b \) = bubble velocity, cm/s

\( u_f \) = velocity fluidization, cm/s
\( u_{mf} \) = minimum fluidization velocity, m/s
\( w \) = pattern width to examine flatness of the bed surface, \( \mu m \)

Greek symbols

\( \Delta p \) = pressure drop across fluidized bed, Pa
\( \Delta p_{or} \) = pressure drop across a distributor orifice, Pa
\( \mu_f \) = gas viscosity, kg/m/s
\( \rho_b \) = bubble density in the bed, \( \text{n}^0 \text{ bubbles/m}^3 \)
\[ \rho_i = \text{gas density, kg/m}^3 \]
\[ \rho_p = \text{particle density, kg/m}^3 \]
\[ \rho_{si} = \text{density gas exchange surface, m}^2/m^3 \]
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Figure 1. Experimental set up used to monitor changes in bed height.

Figure 2: Effect of fluidization gas flow on fluctuation of bed volume. a) $W = 1.020$ kg, $u_l = 0.475$ m/s, left vertical axis; b) $W = 1.020$ kg, $u_l = 0.555$ m/s, left vertical axis; c) $W = 1.567$ kg, $u_l = 0.454$ m/s, right vertical axis; d) $W = 1.567$ kg, $u_l = 0.490$ m/s, right vertical axis.

Figure 3: Effect of weight of fluidized material on variation in bed volume. a) $W = 1.020$ kg, $u_f = 0.475$ m/s; b) $W = 1.219$ kg, $u_l = 0.478$ m/s; c) $W = 1.393$ kg, $u_l = 0.478$ m/s; d) $W = 1.567$ kg, $u_l = 0.478$ m/s.

Figure 4. Fourier power spectra of superficial oscillation of bed surface at three selected fluidizing conditions: (a) $H_{SB} = 233.76$ mm, $u_l = 0.527$ cm/s, $F_p = 1.53$ Hz; (b) $H_{SB} = 347.25$ mm, $u_l = 0.489$ cm/s, $F_p = 1.09$ Hz; (c) $H_{SB} = 443.70$ mm, $u_l = 0.466$ cm/s, $F_p = 0.65$ Hz.

Figure 5: Effects of weight and gas flow on bed volume oscillation.

Figure 6. Eigenvalues spectra for experiments with several gas flow values. $W = 0.816$ kg, $H_{SB} = 233.78$ mm. (a): $u_l = 0.4413$ cm/s; (b): $u_l = 0.4750$ cm/s; (c): $u_l = 0.5271$ cm/s; (d): $u_l = 0.5639$ cm/s.

Figure 7. Eigenvalue spectra for experiments with several values of quantity of fluidized material. (a): $H_{SB} = 292.87$ mm, $u_l = 0.4750$ cm/s; (b): $H_{SB} = 347.25$ mm, $u_l = 0.4781$ cm/s; (c): $H_{SB} = 395.28$ mm, $u_l = 0.4781$ cm/s; (d): $H_{SB} = 443.70$ mm, $u_l = 0.4781$ cm/s.

Figure 8. Attractors when bed height varies over time. $W = 1.219$ kg, $H_{SB} = 347.25$ mm, (a): $u_l = 0.4413$ cm/s; (b): $u_l = 0.4535$ cm/s; (c): $u_l = 0.4535$ cm/s; (d): $u_l = 0.4781$ cm/s; (e): $u_l = 0.4891$ cm/s.

Figure 9. Attractors when bed height varies over time. $W = 1.567$ kg, $H_{SB} = 443.70$ mm, (a): $u_l = 0.4413$ cm/s; (b): $u_l = 0.4535$ cm/s; (c): $u_l = 0.4535$ cm/s; (d): $u_l = 0.4781$ cm/s; (e): $u_l = 0.4903$ cm/s.

Figure 10. Relationship between main components of attractors. (a)–(b): $W = 0.816$ kg, $H_{SB} = 233.78$ mm, $u_l = 0.4658$ cm/s; (c)–(d): $W = 1.020$ kg, $H_{SB} = 292.87$ mm, $u_l = 0.4750$ cm/s; (e)–(f): $W = 1.393$ kg, $H_{SB} = 395.28$ mm, $u_l = 0.4781$ cm/s.

Figure 11. Effect of $u_l$ on $D_2$ and KE. $W = 0.816$ kg, $H_{SB} = 233.78$ mm.

Figure 12. Influence of variables studied on $D_2$. (a): $H_0 = 292.87$ mm; (b) $H_0 = 347.25$ mm; (c): $H_{SB} = 395.28$ mm; (d) $H_0 = 443.70$ mm.
Figure 13. Effect of variables studied on KE. (a) $H_0 = 292.87 \text{ mm}$; (b) $H_0 = 347.25 \text{ mm}$; (c) $H_{3B} = 395.28 \text{ mm}$; (d) $H_0 = 443.70 \text{ mm}$.

Table 1. Quantities of fluidized material and fluidization velocities.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>W, kg</th>
<th>H₀, mm</th>
<th>uₘf. m/s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0,816</td>
<td>233,78</td>
<td>0,441 - 0,564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,020</td>
<td>292,87</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,219</td>
<td>347,25</td>
<td>0,441 - 0,492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,393</td>
<td>395,28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,567</td>
<td>443,70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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