

This is a postprint version of the following published document:

Cobos, A. & Seco, D. (2020). No Entire Inner Functions. *Analysis Mathematica*, 46(1), pp. 39–45.

DOI: [10.1007/s10476-020-0015-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10476-020-0015-0)

© 2020 Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest.

NO ENTIRE INNER FUNCTIONS

ALBERTO COBOS AND DANIEL SECO

ABSTRACT. We study generalized inner functions on a large family of Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Spaces. We show that the only inner functions that are entire are the normalized monomials.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Hardy space, H^2 , is the space of functions f holomorphic on the unit disc \mathbb{D} , with Taylor series $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ satisfying

$$\|f\|^2 := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k|^2 < \infty.$$

A relevant property of H^2 functions is their well-defined boundary values at almost every point of the unit circle \mathbb{T} , defining a function f^* whose $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ norm equals the norm of f . A predominant role in H^2 is played by inner functions: $f \in H^2$ is *inner* if $|f(z)| \leq 1$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and

$$|f^*(e^{i\theta})| = 1 \quad a.e. \theta \in [0, 2\pi).$$

This is equivalent to the function f satisfying for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\langle z^k f, f \rangle = \delta_k(0), \tag{1}$$

where $\delta_k(0)$ is 1 if $k = 0$ and 0, otherwise. For more on H^2 , see [6].

The following fact is our starting observation:

Remark 1.1. There are inner functions holomorphic on arbitrarily large discs, but if they are entire, they must be λz^k , with $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$.

Condition (1) allows for generalization to other spaces: Let H be a Hilbert space of holomorphic functions over \mathbb{D} with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H$, and $f \in H$. We say that f is *H-inner* if for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ it satisfies

$$z^k f, f \rangle_H = \delta_k(0). \tag{2}$$

This goes back to Richter's work on invariant subspaces in the 80s and an account of properties of such functions is given in [2].

A priori (2) could depend on the norm. Indeed, some results in [2] have proved norm-dependent. This is a typical feature of invariant subspaces, to which H -inner functions are closely related.

In this note, we deal with the problem of whether the natural analogue of Remark 1.1 is true in more general spaces. A special role on the theory of H -inner functions is played by the analogue of (finite) Blaschke products, (finite) *Shapiro-Shields functions*, which we describe in Section 2. As we will see then, Shapiro-Shields functions are examples of H_ω^2 -inner functions and they may be taken holomorphic over arbitrarily large discs. On the other hand, it is simple to see that a polynomial which is H_ω^2 -inner must be a normalized monomial. This justified posing the following problem in [9]:

Problem 1.2. *Is there any weight ω for which some (non-monomial) entire function becomes H_ω^2 -inner?*

Our setting will be so-called Dirichlet-type spaces, where some pathological case arises: in some spaces it is not possible to choose a Shapiro-Shields function with a prescribed zero set (and multiplicities). Zeros that do not match what prescribed are called *extraneous*. Many Dirichlet-type spaces do not have this pathology, although some choices of equivalent norms in D_α have been shown to have extraneous zeros [7]. We do not know whether these pathologies are due to the choice of space or of equivalent norm, or whether they can be avoided.

In Section 3, we do our contribution to Problem 1.2: *in spaces without extraneous zeros, all entire inner functions are monomials.*

2. WEIGHTED HARDY SPACES AND SHAPIRO-SHIELDS FUNCTIONS

A particular class of inner functions are *finite Blaschke products*: they are the only inner functions to be holomorphic *across* the boundary \mathbb{T} (this, we denote $Hol(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$). They consist of finite products of *Blaschke factors*, this is, monomials and functions

$$f(z) = \frac{z - a}{1 - \bar{a}z},$$

for some $a \in \mathbb{D}$. On the other hand, the *reproducing kernel* at the point $a \in \mathbb{D}$ is the only function with the property that for all $g \in H^2$,

$$g(a) = \langle g, k_a \rangle,$$

and it is given by

$$k_a(z) = \frac{1}{1 - \bar{a}z}.$$

A Blaschke factor may be expressed as a normalization of the function $k_a(z) - k_a(a)$. As described in [2], the analogue of finite Blaschke

products in other Hilbert spaces with reproducing kernels (RKHS) is based on this. We focus on the following family of RKHS:

Definition 2.1. Let $\omega = (\omega_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset (0, +\infty)$, with $\omega_0 = 1$, satisfying

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\omega_k}{\omega_{k+1}} = 1. \quad (3)$$

The *weighted Hardy space* with weight ω is the space H_ω^2 of holomorphic functions f over \mathbb{D} given by $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ with

$$\|f\|_\omega^2 := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k|^2 \omega_k < \infty. \quad (4)$$

We also assume a doubling condition: the existence of a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{n \leq k \leq 2n} \frac{\omega_k}{\omega_n} \leq C. \quad (5)$$

Assumption (3) guarantees both forward and backward shifts are bounded, and $Hol(\overline{\mathbb{D}}) \subset H_\omega^2 \subset Hol(\mathbb{D})$. A special class is formed by the weights $\omega_k = (k+1)^\alpha$, for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ (called *Dirichlet-type spaces*). Specially well-known are the 3 cases when $\alpha = -1, 0, 1$, which are respectively the Bergman (A^2), Hardy (H^2) and Dirichlet (D) classical spaces. For more on A^2 and D , see [3, 4]. The role of the doubling condition is to ensure that spaces with bounded point evaluation functionals become multiplicative algebras (see Remark 3.2(II) below).

As hinted, D_α spaces are RKHS and the reproducing kernel at $a \in \mathbb{D}$ is given by

$$k_a(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{a}^k z^k}{\omega_k}. \quad (6)$$

In the notation below, whenever a function's zeros are denoted with some of them appearing more than once, we interpret that the number of appearances represents the multiplicity of the zero of the function at that point. We are now ready to define one of the main concepts we use:

Definition 2.2. Let H_ω^2 be a fixed weighted Hardy space, k_a be the reproducing kernel of H_ω^2 at any point $a \in \mathbb{D}$, and $Z = (z_k)_{k=1}^t \subset \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$, where each $z_k \in Z$ has multiplicity one. The *Shapiro-Shields function* corresponding to Z (in H_ω^2) is the function h_Z defined as

$$h_Z(z) := \frac{f_Z(z)}{\|f_Z\|},$$

only for points on the boundary. Describing the spaces in which extraneous zeros appear seems like too ambitious of a goal for our purposes, and therefore we concentrate on the case of regular zeros.

3. INVARIANT SUBSPACES AND SHAPIRO-SHIELDS FUNCTIONS

We are finally ready to state our main result:

Theorem 3.1. *Let H_ω^2 have no extraneous zeros. Then the only entire functions which are H_ω^2 -inner are the normalized monomials.*

Before the proof, let us recall a few facts about H_ω^2 -inner functions and point evaluations in H_ω^2 (see [2] and [5], respectively). For f in a space H_ω^2 , we denote $[f]_\omega$ the smallest closed subspace of H_ω^2 which is invariant under multiplication by z and contains f . Also, H^∞ is the space of bounded analytic functions on \mathbb{D} .

Remark 3.2. Let ω be as in Definition 2.1, fixed.

- (I) Let g be H_ω^2 -inner, then the orthogonal projection of the function 1 onto $[g]_\omega$ is $\overline{gg(0)}$. In particular, if g_1, g_2 are both H_ω^2 and $[g_1]_\omega = [g_2]_\omega$ then $g_1 = cg_2$ for some $c \in \mathbb{T}$.
- (II) Because of the doubling condition (5), H_ω^2 is a multiplicative algebra if and only if evaluation at a given point of the boundary is a bounded functional which happens if and only if

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\omega_k} < \infty.$$

Indeed, from Proposition 32 in [11], a space with finite $\sum 1/\omega_k$ is an algebra provided that

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\omega_n}{\omega_k \omega_{n-k}} < \infty,$$

but under the doubling condition the above quantity is controlled by

$$2C \sum_{k=0}^{n/2} 1/\omega_k < \infty.$$

Without the doubling condition, additional difficulties would have appeared (see [1, 8]).

- (III) Suppose that H_ω^2 is a multiplicative algebra, $g_1, g_2 \in H_\omega^2$ and $g_1/g_2 \in H^\infty$. Then $g_1/g_2 \in H_\omega^2$ and $g_1 \in [g_2]_\omega$.

Now we have all the ingredients we need.

Proof. Let ω be fixed. Assume then f to be an entire H_ω^2 -inner function, with a zero set in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ given by Z (with the multiplicities prescribed by Z). Since f is entire, it can only have a finite number of points in Z , each with finite multiplicity.

Suppose firstly that evaluation at points of \mathbb{T} are *not* bounded functionals in H_ω^2 and that $f(0) \neq 0$. Define then h_{Z_0} as the Shapiro-Shields function defined in H_ω^2 by the zero set $Z_0 = Z \cap \mathbb{D}$. By our hypothesis, h_{Z_0}/f and f/h_{Z_0} are both holomorphic across the boundary and hence they are multipliers in H_ω^2 . This means that $h_{Z_0} \in [f]_\omega$ and that $f \in [h_{Z_0}]_\omega$, which, in turn, implies that

$$[f]_\omega = [h_{Z_0}]_\omega. \quad (8)$$

Since f is H_ω^2 -inner, (8) together with Remark 3.2 (I) imply that $f = \lambda h_{Z_0}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$, but by Remark 2.3 (3), h_{Z_0} is not entire, and so we arrive to a contradiction unless $Z_0 = \emptyset$. This means that f must be a unimodular constant. If $0 \in Z$, the same argument can be worked out with limits, but the conclusion will be that f is λz^s for some $s \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$.

Finally, suppose we are in the case that evaluation at some points of the boundary are bounded functionals. Bearing in mind Remark 3.2 (II), all points of the boundary have this property, and the space H_ω^2 is an algebra. Given f with zeros in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ given by Z , we construct h_Z . Since h_Z/f and f/h_Z are bounded functions, they are also in the space, $h_Z \in [f]_\omega$ and $f \in [h_Z]_\omega$. We may conclude that $[f]_\omega = [h_Z]_\omega$. However, h_Z is not entire unless a monomial, and so f must be a monomial itself. \square

Acknowledgements. The authors acknowledge financial support by the Severo Ochoa Programme for Centers of Excellence in R&D (SEV-2015-0554) at ICMAT. The second author is also grateful for support from the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness, through grant MTM2016-77710-P. We also thank I. Efraimidis and M. Hartz for careful reading and valuable comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] ALEMAN, A., HARTZ, M., MCCARTHY, J. E., and RICHTER, S., The Smirnov class for spaces with complete Pick property, *Journal Lond. Math. Soc.* **96** (August 2017) no. 1, 228–242.
- [2] BÉNÉTEAU, C., FLEEMAN, M., KHAVINSON, D., SECO, D., and SOLA, A. A., Remarks on inner functions and optimal approximants, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **61** (2018) 704–716.
- [3] DUREN, P. L. and SCHUSTER, A., *Bergman spaces*, AMS, Providence, RI, 2004.

- [4] EL FALLAH, O., KELLAY, K., MASHREGHI, J., and RANSFORD, T., *A primer on the Dirichlet space*, Cambridge University Press, 2014.
- [5] FRICAIN, E., MASHREGHI, J., and SECO, D., Cyclicity in Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Spaces of analytic functions, *Comput. Methods Funct. Theory* **14** (2014) 665–680.
- [6] GARNETT, J. B., *Bounded analytic functions*, Academic Press Inc., 1981.
- [7] NOWAK, M. T., ROSOSZCZUK, R. and WOŁOSZKIEWICZ-CYLL, M., Extremal functions in weighted Bergman spaces, *Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations* **62** (2017) No. 1, 98–109.
- [8] SALAS, H. N., A note on strictly cyclic weighted shifts, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **83** (1981) no. 3, 555–556.
- [9] SECO, D., Some problems on optimal approximants, Recent progress on operator theory and approximation in spaces of analytic functions, *Contemporary Mathematics* **679** (2017) 193–206, AMS.
- [10] SHAPIRO, H., and SHIELDS, A. L., On the zeros of functions with finite Dirichlet integral and some related function spaces, *Math. Z.* **80** (1962) 217–299.
- [11] SHIELDS, A. L., Weighted shift operators and analytic function theory, *Topics in Operator Theory* 49–128, Math. Surveys **13**, AMS, 1974.

DEPARTEMENT WISKUNDE, KU LEUVEN, CELESTIJNENLAAN 200B, B-3001 LEUVEN (HEVERLEE), BELGIUM.

E-mail address: albertocobosrabano@gmail.com

INSTITUTO DE CIENCIAS MATEMÁTICAS, CALLE NICOLÁS CABRERA, UAM, 28049 MADRID, SPAIN.

E-mail address: dsf_cm@yahoo.es