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Abstract

The paper explores $N$-hop FSO communications assisted by amplify-and-forward relays (AFRs) over $N$-gamma-gamma ($N$-gg) turbulence induced (TI) fading channels. We model TI fading signal as the product of independent but not necessarily identically distributed (i.n.i.d) $N$ number of gg random processes (RPs) in order to address $N$-hop AFR FSO communications in moderate to strong TI fading conditions. The closed form statistical measures such as: probability density function (PDF), cumulative distribution function (CDF), average level crossing rate (LCR) and average fade duration (AFD) approximated by general Laplace integration formula (LIF) and exponential LIF are derived. The CDF and AFD derived expressions are in terms of finite sums and valid only for an integer value of the parameter related to small-scale atmospheric cells in the first link of $N$-hop AFR FSO system. The numerical examples for moderate to strong TI fading conditions as well as for various number of hops for the proposed $N$-hop AFR FSO systems are presented and discussed. Moreover, LIF approximate numerical results are compared with numerical results evaluated from exact integral expressions for the observed system model parameters.
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1. Introduction

Free space optical (FSO) communications re-present promising solution for integration in future 5G and beyond 5G (B5G) communication systems [1]-[4]. The FSO links are mainly applied to increase data rate and provide wider bandwidth in comparison to existing radio-frequency (RF) communications. An FSO link is spectrum license free. Moreover, FSO links can provide cost effective solutions and annulate the impact of channel interference. The main cause of FSO system performance deterioration is turbulence induced (TI) fading due to the existence of small- and large-scales atmospheric cells. Moreover, atmospheric conditions (such as fog, rain and etc.) as well as pointing errors (misalignment) of the system’s transmitter-receiver apparatus can induce additional deterioration in FSO performances [5]-[6].

The relay assisted communications are usually recognized as practical solutions to increase data-rate, decrease energy consumption, extend coverage and provide security [7]-[9]. The unmanned-aerial-vehicles (UAVs) can be even used as relays to assist the FSO communication systems [10]-[11]. Indeed, amplify-and forward relay (AFR) technique has an important role in cascaded FSO, RF-FSO and mmWave-FSO relay systems [12]-[14] and it is usually the case that multi-hop fading signals can be modeled as the product of two or more random processes (RPs) [15]-[21]. In particular, gamma-gamma (gg) RP can precisely address FSO links subjected to moderate to strong turbulence induced (TI) fading [22]-[24]. The analytical results for PDF of gg RP that fit well with simulations are provided in [23]. Moreover, [24] shows that for moderate to strong TI fading conditions, the gg distribution provides a good fit to the experiments for TI fluctuations collected by determined dimension of apertures related to coherence radius. Further, survey on gg RP and its application to various wireless communication systems (WCSs) as well as relay WCSs, including FSO AFR communications is presented in [25]. The paper [26] considers dual-hop AFR FSO systems over gg TI fading channels and provide closed form first order statistical results expressed mainly in terms of Fox’s H and Meijer’s G functions. Moreover, the first-order outage statistics such as outage probability and average bit error rate (BER) of multi-hop ASR FSO communications over gg TI fading are considered in [27]. The experimental verification of obtained results for BER of multi-hop FSO links over gg TI fading for up to 3-hop AFR FSO links are presented in [28]-[29]. In paper [30], gg TI fading model is applied for FSO link of SIM-FSO communication system.

In addition to the first order outage statistics (outage probability, bit error rate, channel
capacity and others), higher order statistics (level crossing rate - LCR and average fade duration - AFD) can reveal additional insights of time-variant fading channels. Moreover, one of the 5G requirements is ultra-reliable low-latency communications (URLLLC) which is dependent on evaluation of system’s outage in respect to time. In particular, the LCR indeed addresses time variant fading channels, by determining time rate of change of the output signal, while AFD is characterized as the average time for which the SNR is below a specified threshold. Thus, second order statistics can be useful for delay estimation of reliable communication links over fading channels [31]-[32]. The theoretical results for AFD and LCR of gamma-gamma RP are provided in [33]. The identical second order measures with application to FSO, verified by experiments for moderate to strong TI fading conditions are considered in [34]. Moreover, [34] has showed that analytical results are accurate with experimental results for TI fluctuations only collected by determined dimension of apertures related to coherence radius. The higher order statistics of an FSO optical link in so called Malaga TI fading conditions is then investigated in [35]. The paper [36] gives some experimental and simulation results for LCR and AFD of FSO communications. The paper [37] addresses burst error rate of time-variant FSO link over gg TI fading model, while [38] investigates security issues of FSO links over time-variant gg TI fading channels. However, the above mention references as well as the references within do not consider the second order statistics of $N$-hop AFR FSO systems over moderate to strong TI fading conditions. The closed form analytical expressions for second order statistics derived by Laplace integration formula (LIF) of mixed triple-hop RF-FSO-RF over gg TI fading model for FSO link are given in [16]. Moreover, the LIF can be often useful for application in the performance analysis of wireless communication systems [16]-[21], [39]. The LIF and exponential LIF are characterized by its generality and simplicity of application and can be used to provide closed form precise approximations that can significantly decrease computational time of complex, many-folded integral expressions [40]-[42].

To summarize, the gg is one of the most used FSO channel models for moderate to strong TI fading conditions [25]-[30], [36]-[38] that has been verified by experimental and simulation results [23]-[24], [34]. Since $N$-gg channel model can address $N$-hop AFR FSO communications in moderate to strong TI fading conditions [27], we rely on $N$-gg channel model for the second order performance analysis of $N$-hop AFR FSO communications. Other FSO channel models that are available in literature are: log-normal TI fading model (mainly
used under weak TI fading conditions) [15], double generalized gamma TI fading model (applicable for weak to strong TI conditions but there aren’t available experimental validations for TI fading conditions) [43], general Malaga TI channel model (applicable for weak to strong TI fading conditions but there aren’t available experimental validations for TI fading conditions, especially regarding the second order statistics) [35] and exponential-generalized gamma TI fading model (applicable for underwater optical wireless communications) [44].

Motivation of this work is to investigate the impact of the number of relays under moderate and strong TI fading conditions in relation to second the order statistics of $N$-hop AFR FSO system. In particular, significance of this paper is mathematical framework development for derivation of closed form expressions such as i.) PDF, ii.) CDF, iii.) LCR and iv.) AFD expressions of the products of i.n.i.d $N_{gg}$ RPs by direct application of LIF and exponential LIF. The obtained results are then related to the system performance of $N$-hop AFR FSO communications over $gg$ TI fading. Moreover, the impact of moderate and strong TI fading conditions as well as the number of hops on the second order statistics of the proposed model are well investigated, numerically evaluated and presented.

To the best of author’s knowledge there is no paper on the second order statistics of $N_{gg}$ RP approximated by LIF and exponential LIF that are directly related to the $N$-hop AFR FSO system over moderate to strong TI fading conditions.

2. Gamma-gamma channel model

The $gg$ model is based on the assumption that the fluctuations of the received optical signals, which were formed during the transmission through the turbulent FSO channel, can be modeled as a product of $x_{gg,1}$ and $x_{gg,2}$ RPs, where these two processes originate from eddies of large and small dimensions, respectively. It is assumed that $x_{gg,1}$ and $x_{gg,2}$ are statistically independent RPs. Thus, we can express the $gg$ RP as:

$$z_{gg} = x_{gg,1}x_{gg,2}$$

The large-scale and small-scale TI fluctuations are described by gamma PDFs [23, eq. (10)] and [23, eq. (11)], respectively:
\[ p_{x_{gg,1}}(x_{gg,1}) = \frac{\alpha^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)}(x_{gg,1})^{\alpha-1}e^{-\alpha x_{gg,1}}, x_{gg,1} > 0, \alpha > 0; \tag{2} \]

\[ p_{x_{gg,2}}(x_{gg,2}) = \frac{\beta^\beta}{\Gamma(\beta)}(x_{gg,2})^{\beta-1}e^{-\beta x_{gg,2}}, x_{gg,2} > 0, \beta > 0; \tag{3} \]

where \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are large-scale and small-scale cells related to atmospheric TI fading conditions [22]-[24], respectively. The PDF of gg RP, as already given in [23, eq. (13)], is:

\[ p_{z_{gg}}(z_{gg}) = 2(\alpha \beta)^{\alpha+\beta} \gamma(\alpha) \gamma(\beta) \frac{z_{gg}^{\alpha+\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)} \Gamma_{\alpha-\beta}[2(\alpha \beta z_{gg})^{1/2}] \tag{4} \]

The PDF of double Nakagami-m squared (dNs) can be written as:

\[ Z_{dNs} = x_{n,1}^2 x_{n,2}^2 \tag{5} \]

where PDFs of Nakagami-m are given as [48, eq. (2.52)]:

\[ p_{x_{ni}}(x_{ni}) = \frac{2(m_i/\Omega_i)^{m_i}}{I(m_i)}(x_{ni})^{2m_i-1}e^{-\frac{m_i}{\Omega_i}(x_{ni})^2}, i = 1, 2; \tag{6} \]

The PDF of dNs can be expressed as:

\[ p_{Z_{dNs}}(z_{dNs}) = \int_0^\infty \frac{dx_{n,1}}{dz_{dNs}} p_{x_{n,1}}\left(\frac{z_{dNs}^{1/2}}{x_{n,2}}\right) p_{x_{n,2}}(x_{n,2}) dx_{n,2} \tag{7} \]

where \( \frac{dx_{n,1}}{dz_{dNs}} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{z_{dNs}^{1/2}}{x_{n,2}} \). After substitution (6) in (7) and after some mathematical transformations, the PDF of dNs can be written as:

\[ p_{Z_{dNs}}(z_{dNs}) = \frac{2(m_1 m_2)^{m_1+m_2}}{I(m_1) I(m_2)} \frac{m_1+m_2}{\Omega_1 \Omega_2} \frac{z_{dNs}^{1/2}}{x_{dNs}} K_{m_1-m_2}[2(m_1 m_2 z_{dNs})^{1/2}] \tag{8} \]

Since the gamma RPs can be expressed as Nakagami-m squared RPs \( (x_{gg,1} = x_{n,1}^2 \text{ and } x_{gg,2} = x_{n,2}^2) \) [48, eq. (2.55)] and where for the set of parameters: \( m_1 = \alpha \), \( m_2 = \beta \) and \( \Omega_1 = \Omega_2 = 1 \), \( p_{Z_{dNs}}(z_{dNs}) \) given by (8) reduces to \( p_{z_{gg}}(z_{gg}) \) given by (4), it is obvious that manipulation with gg PDF or dNs PDF for determined set of parameters should lead to the same results. Manipulation with the same RP can be more tractable but also useful in some AFR scenarios, especially in mixed RF-FSO, where for example RF propagation environment
can be modeled with Nakagami-m and FSO propagation environment can be modeled with the product of two Nakagami-m squared RPs [16].

3. N-gamma-gamma channel model for N-hop relaying

The most often applied relaying protocols for FSO or mixed RF-FSO relaying schemes are amplify-and-forward relays (AFRs) as well as decode-and-forward relays (DFRs) [12]-[13], [45]. The DFR systems can usually provide better results than AFR systems in terms of performance metrics but due to relatively lower complexity AFR systems are often proposed for application in practice [12]-[13], [28]-[29], [39], [46]. In [46], the authors compare AFR and DFR systems and propose hybrid system in order to improve the system performance, consisting of both AFRs and DFRs.

The cascaded fading channels can be modeled as the product of RPs [15]-[19], [27], [47]. It has been shown by [19, eq. (39)] that the total fading signal amplitude without AWGN at destination node of AFR channel can be modeled as the product of N Rayleigh RPs, where for the case of semi-blind, fixed gain AFRs, the gain is given by [19, eq. (52)]. In [47], the part of the system that includes dual-hop AFR channel is modeled as the product of double Gaussian RPs and the channel gain [47, eq. (2)], where the channel gain is given as a constant scaling factor equal to one in numerical results. Moreover, the FSO multi-hop transmissions by considering TI fading channels under weak TI fading conditions are given entirely as a product of log-normal RPs [15, eq. (13)]. The first order statistics of FSO multi-hop AFR system over gg RPs is presented in [27], where the overall TI fading gain is given by [27, eq. (26)].

The TI fading signal of a FSO link in moderate to strong fading conditions can be modeled using gamma-gamma (gg) random process (RP) [22]-[24]. The N-hop AFR communication system over gg TI fading channels is presented in Fig. 1.

We model TI fading signal at the output of N-hop FSO amplify-and-forward relaying AFR from source (S) laser to destination (D) reception apparatus through N-I number of relays (R1, R2…RN-1) as the product of N i.n.i.d gg RPs multiplied by channel gain $G_i$ for each hop.

$$\mathcal{z}_{gg, AFR}(t) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} G_i \mathcal{z}_{gg,i}(t), i = 1, N;$$ (9)
According to [48, eq. (2.55)], the gamma RP can be expressed as squared Nakagami-m RP. Thus, we express the \( gg \) RP as the product of two independent but not necessarily identically distributed (i.n.i.d) Nakagami-m squared RPs for each of \( N \) number of hops:

\[
 z_{gg,\text{out}} = \prod_{i=1}^{N} z_{gg,i} = \prod_{i=1}^{N} x_{n,i1}^2 x_{n,i2}^2
\]  

(10)

where the total output TI fading signal is denoted as \( z_{gg,\text{out}} \). The TI fading signal at the output of \( i \)-th hop is denoted as \( z_{gg,i} \). \( i = 1, N \) and \( x_{n,i1}^2 x_{n,i2}^2, i = 1, N \) is the product of two squared Nakagami-m RPs of \( i \)-th hop.

Similarly, as in [27], under the assumption that the TI fading amplitude of the product of \( N \) \( gg \) RPs can be estimated at reception without necessity for being estimated at the output of each hop, the instantaneous end-to-end SNR at the destination node can be considered as the cascaded one and can be modeled as the product of \( N \) i.n.i.d squared \( gg \) RPs. Accordingly, the instantaneous end-to-end SNR at destination node of FSO N-hop AFR system denoted as \( \gamma_{AFR} \) is presented as in [27, eq. (27)]:

\[
 \gamma_{AFR} = \frac{E_{\text{sym}}}{N_0} \prod_{i=1}^{N} G_i - 1^2 z_{gg,i}^2, i = 1, N;
\]  

(11)

where \( E_{\text{sym}} \) presents the mean energy of the transmitted symbols and \( N_0 \) presents the overall noise power at the destination node. The \( G_0^2 = 1 \) and \( G_i^2 = 1 \) for the fixed gain FSO AFR links over \( gg \) TI fading channels are already calculated and given by [27, eq. (30)]. The PDF of Nakagami-m is given as [48, eq. (2.52)]:

\[
 p_{x_{n,i}}(x_{n,ij}) = \frac{2(m_{ij}/\Omega_{ij})^{m_{ij}}}{\Gamma(m_{ij})} (x_{n,ij})^{2m_{ij}-1}e^{-\frac{m_{ij}}{\Omega_{ij}}(x_{n,ij})^2}, i = 1, N; j = 1, 2;
\]  

(12)

The \( gg \) RPs for FSO transmission over strong to moderate TI fading conditions are observed for normalized average powers, \( \Omega_{ij} = 1, l = 1, N; j = 1, 2; \) [22]-[24]. The large-scale cells (denoted as \( \alpha_i \)) and small-scale cells (denoted as \( \beta_i \)) related to atmospheric TI fading conditions of \( i \)-th link are [22]-[24], respectively,

\[
 \alpha_i = m_{i1} = \left[ \exp \left( \frac{0.49 \delta_i^2}{(1 + 0.18 \delta_i^2 + 0.56 \delta_i^{12/5})^{3/6}} \right) - 1 \right]^{-1}
\]  

(13)

\[
 \beta_i = m_{i2} = \left[ \exp \left( \frac{0.51 \delta_i^2 (1 + 0.69 \delta_i^{12/5})^{-5/6}}{(1 + 0.9 \delta_i^2 + 0.62 \delta_i^{12/5})^{5/6}} \right) - 1 \right]^{-1}.
\]  

(14)
where, $\delta_i^2 = 0.5C_{n_i}^2 k_i^{7/6} L_i^{11/6}$ is the Rytov variance and $d_i = \sqrt{k_i D_i^2 / 4 L_i}$ is the optical wave number of $i$-th link. Further, $C_{n_i}^2$ is Refractive index, $k_i = 2\pi/\lambda_i$ is wave-number ($\lambda_i$-wavelength), $D_i$ is receiver aperture diameter and $L_i$ is propagation distance of $i$-th link. The FSO AFR system model parameters used throughout text are summarized in Table I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System parameters</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha_i = m_{i1}$</td>
<td>large-scale cells related to atmospheric conditions of $i$-th link</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta_i = m_{i2}$</td>
<td>small-scale cells related to atmospheric conditions of $i$-th link</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_i^2$</td>
<td>Rytov variance of $i$-th link</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{n_i}^2$</td>
<td>Refractive index of $i$-th link (range: $10^{-17} m^{-2/3}$ to $10^{-13} m^{-2/3}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_i$</td>
<td>wave-number of $i$-th link</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda_i$</td>
<td>wavelength of $i$-th link</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_i$</td>
<td>receiver aperture diameter of $i$-th link</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_i$</td>
<td>optical distance of $i$-th link</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{gg,i}^2$</td>
<td>Gamma-gamma irradiance variance of $i$-th link</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$v_{0,i}$</td>
<td>quasi frequency of $i$-th link</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u_{t,i}$</td>
<td>average wind speed of $i$-th link</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The FSO AFR system abbreviations used throughout the text are summarized in Table II.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviations</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AFD</td>
<td>Average fade duration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFR</td>
<td>Amplify and forward relay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AWGN</td>
<td>Additive white Gaussian noise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B5G</td>
<td>Beyond 5th generation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BER</td>
<td>Bit error rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDF</td>
<td>Cumulative distribution function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFR</td>
<td>Decode and forward relay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSO</td>
<td>Free space optics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$gg$</td>
<td>Gamma-gamma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$i.n.i.d$</td>
<td>independent but not necessarily identically distributed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCR</td>
<td>Level crossing rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviations</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIF</td>
<td>Laplace integration formula</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDF</td>
<td>Probability density function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP</td>
<td>Random Process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF</td>
<td>Radio frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIM</td>
<td>Subcarrier intensity modulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNR</td>
<td>Signal to noise ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TI</td>
<td>Turbulence induced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAV</td>
<td>Unmanned aerial vehicle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URLLC</td>
<td>Ultra-reliable low-latency communications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Gaussian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WCS</td>
<td>Wireless communication systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5G</td>
<td>5th Generation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4. PDF and CDF of $N$-gamma-gamma channel model

The PDF of $z_{gg,\text{out}}$ can be obtained by solving (2N-1)-folded integral according to [48]-[50]:

$$p_{z_{gg,\text{out}}}(z_{gg,\text{out}}) = \frac{1}{z_{gg,\text{out}}^N} \cdot p_{x_{n,12}}(x_{n,12})p_{x_{n,21}}(x_{n,21})p_{x_{n,22}}(x_{n,22}) \cdots p_{x_{n,N1}}(x_{n,N1})p_{x_{n,N2}}(x_{n,N2})dx_{n,N2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

where $\frac{dx_{n,11}}{dz_{gg,\text{out}}} = \frac{1}{x_{n,12}x_{n,21}x_{n,22} \cdots x_{n,N1}x_{n,N2}}$. After substitutions (13) and (14) in (12), and (12) in (15), respectively, PDF of $z_{gg,\text{out}}$ becomes:

$$p_{z_{gg,\text{out}}}(z_{gg,\text{out}}) = \frac{2^{2N-1}a_1^a_1b_1^b_1 a_2^a_2b_2^b_2 \cdots a_N^a_Nb_N^b_N}{\Gamma(a_1)\Gamma(b_1) \cdots \Gamma(a_N)\Gamma(b_N)} \cdot l_1$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

where, $l_1$ is (2N-1)-folded integral expression given as:

$$l_1 = \int_0^\infty dx_{n,12} \int_0^\infty dx_{n,21} \int_0^\infty dx_{n,22} \cdots \int_0^\infty dx_{n,N1} \int_0^\infty dx_{n,12} 2^{2\beta_1-2\alpha_1-1}x_{n,21}^{2\alpha_2-2\alpha_1-1} \cdots x_{n,N1}^{2\alpha_N-2\alpha_1-1} x_{n,N2}^{2\beta_2-2\alpha_1-1}$$

\hspace{6cm} \cdot e^{-\frac{z_{gg,\text{out}}}{x_{n,12}x_{n,21}x_{n,22} \cdots x_{n,N1}x_{n,N2}}} x_{n,12}^{-\beta_1}x_{n,21}^{-\alpha_2}x_{n,22}^{2\alpha_2-2\alpha_1-1} \cdots x_{n,N1}^{-\alpha_N}x_{n,N2}^{2\beta_2-2\alpha_1-1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)
The evaluation of \( I_1 \) by exponential LIF is provided in Appendix. The CDF of \( z_{gg,\text{out}} \) is expressed using \([48, \text{eq. (1.41)}], [51, \text{eq. (3.381.1)}], [51, \text{eq. (8.352.1)}] \) and \([51, \text{eq. (3.471.9)}]\), respectively for the case where \( \alpha_1 \) is integer:

\[
P_{z_{gg,\text{out}}}(z_{gg,\text{out}}) = \int_0^{z_{gg,\text{out}}} p_{z_{gg,\text{out}}}(r)dr
\]

\[
= \frac{2^{2N-1}\beta_1 a_2 a_2 \beta_2 \beta_N}{\Gamma(a_1)\Gamma(b_1)\Gamma(a_2)\Gamma(b_2)} \frac{\beta_N b_N}{\Gamma(b_N)}(a_1 - 1)! \left( \frac{\Gamma(b_1)\Gamma(a_2) \ldots \Gamma(b_N)}{2^{2N-1}\beta_1 a_2 a_2 \beta_2 \beta_N} \sum_{k=0}^{a_1-1} \left( \frac{a_1 z_{gg,\text{out}}}{k!} \right)^k \right)
\]

(18)

where, \( I_2 \) is (2N-1)-folded integral given as:

\[
I_2 = \int_0^{\infty} dx_{n,12} \int_0^{\infty} dx_{n,21} \ldots \int_0^{\infty} dx_{n,N1} \int_0^{\infty} x_{n,12}^{2\beta_1 - 2k-1} x_{n,21}^{2\beta_2 - 2k-1} \ldots x_{n,N1}^{2\beta_N - 2k-1} e^{-a_1 x_{n,12} x_{n,21} \ldots x_{n,N1} - a_2 x_{n,21} \ldots x_{n,N2} - a_N x_{n,N1} \ldots x_{n,N2}} dx_{n,N1}
\]

(19)

The closed form CDF of \( z_{gg,\text{out}} \) can be obtained by evaluation of \( I_2 \) using exponential LIF whose derivation is given in Appendix.

5. LCR and AFD of N-gamma-gamma channel model

The LCR for a given TI fading signal threshold \( z_{th,gg,\text{out}} \) can be expressed as an integral of the product of the first derivative of \( z_{gg,\text{out}} \) denoted as \( \dot{z}_{gg,\text{out}} \) and the joint PDF of \( z_{gg,\text{out}} \) and \( \dot{z}_{gg,\text{out}} \) \([48, \text{eq. (12.25)}]\):

\[
N_{z_{gg,\text{out}}}(z_{th,gg,\text{out}}) = \int_0^{\infty} \dot{z}_{gg,\text{out}} p_{z_{gg,\text{out}} \dot{z}_{gg,\text{out}}}(z_{gg,\text{out}}, \dot{z}_{gg,\text{out}}) d\dot{z}_{gg,\text{out}}
\]

(20)

where, \( p_{z_{gg,\text{out}} \dot{z}_{gg,\text{out}}}(z_{gg,\text{out}}, \dot{z}_{gg,\text{out}}) \) can be obtained by averaging joint PDF of i.i.d RPs, \( z_{gg,\text{out}}, \dot{z}_{gg,\text{out}}, x_{n,12}, \ldots, x_{n,N1} \) and \( x_{n,N2} \) \([19, \text{eq. (12)}]\):

\[
p_{z_{gg,\text{out}} \dot{z}_{gg,\text{out}}}(z_{gg,\text{out}}, \dot{z}_{gg,\text{out}}) = \int_0^{\infty} dx_{n,12} \int_0^{\infty} dx_{n,21} \ldots \int_0^{\infty} dx_{n,N1} p_{z_{gg,\text{out}} \dot{z}_{gg,\text{out}} x_{n,12} \ldots x_{n,N1}}(z_{gg,\text{out}}, \dot{z}_{gg,\text{out}}, x_{n,12} \ldots x_{n,N1}) dx_{n,N1}
\]

(21)

where, \( p_{z_{gg,\text{out}} \dot{z}_{gg,\text{out}} x_{n,12} \ldots x_{n,N2}}(z_{gg,\text{out}}, \dot{z}_{gg,\text{out}}, x_{n,12} \ldots x_{n,N2}) \) can be obtained by well-established mathematical framework based on joint and conditional PDFs \([19, \text{eq. (13)}]\):

\[
p_{z_{gg,\text{out}} \dot{z}_{gg,\text{out}} x_{n,12} \ldots x_{n,N2}}(z_{gg,\text{out}}, \dot{z}_{gg,\text{out}}, x_{n,12} \ldots x_{n,N2}) = p_{z_{gg,\text{out}}}(z_{gg,\text{out}}, x_{n,12} \ldots x_{n,N2}) \cdot p_{\dot{z}_{gg,\text{out}}}(\dot{z}_{gg,\text{out}}, x_{n,12} \ldots x_{n,N2})
\]
\[ p_{z_{gg, out}|x_{n12}x_{n21} \ldots x_{nN1}x_{nN2}}(z_{gg, out}|x_{n12}x_{n21} \ldots x_{nN2}) = \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^N x_{n1i}^2} \prod_{i=2}^N x_{n2i}^2 \prod_{i=3}^N x_{n3i}^2 \cdots \prod_{i=N}^N x_{nNi}^2 \prod_{i=N+1}^N x_{nNi} \] \]

where after simple transformations, \( p_{z_{gg, out}|x_{n12}x_{n21} \ldots x_{nN1}x_{nN2}}(z_{gg, out}|x_{n12}x_{n21} \ldots x_{nN2}) \) is:

\[ p_{z_{gg, out}|x_{n12}x_{n21} \ldots x_{nN1}x_{nN2}}(z_{gg, out}|x_{n12}x_{n21} \ldots x_{nN2}) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^N x_{n1i}^2}{\prod_{i=2}^N x_{n2i}^2 \prod_{i=3}^N x_{n3i}^2 \cdots \prod_{i=N}^N x_{nNi}^2 \prod_{i=N+1}^N x_{nNi}} \]

After substitutions, (23) in (22), (22) in (21) and (21) in (20), respectively, the \( N_{z_{gg, out}}(z_{th, gg, out}) \) becomes:

\[ N_{z_{gg, out}}(z_{th, gg, out}) = \int_0^\infty dx_{n12} \int_0^\infty dx_{n21} \cdots \int_0^\infty dx_{nN2} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^N x_{n1i}^2}{\prod_{i=2}^N x_{n2i}^2 \prod_{i=3}^N x_{n3i}^2 \cdots \prod_{i=N}^N x_{nNi}^2 \prod_{i=N+1}^N x_{nNi}} \]

The integral of the product of \( z_{gg, out} \) and conditional pdf of \( z_{gg, out} \) is:

\[ \int_0^\infty z_{gg, out} p_{z_{gg, out}|x_{n12}x_{n21} \ldots x_{nN1}x_{nN2}}(z_{gg, out}|x_{n12}x_{n21} \ldots x_{nN2}) dz_{gg, out} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sigma_{z_{gg, out}}^2 \]

where the \( \sigma_{z_{gg, out}}^2 \) is the variance of \( z_{gg, out} \). Since the first derivative of zero mean Gaussian (G) RP is G RP and the linear transformation of the G RPs is zero mean G RP, the \( z_{gg, out} \) is thus zero mean G RP and can be expressed as:

\[ z_{gg, out} = z_{gg, 1} \text{ for } N = 1 \]

\[ z_{gg, out} = z_{gg, 2}z_{gg, 3} \cdots z_{gg, N}^2 \text{ for } N > 1 \]

After mathematical transformation of \( z_{gg, i} = x_{n1i}^2 x_{n2i}^2 \), \( i = 1, N \), the \( \sigma_{z_{gg, out}}^2 \) as a zero mean G RP can be expressed through the variances of \( z_{gg, 1}, z_{gg, 2}, \ldots z_{gg, N} \), denoted as \( \sigma_{z_{gg, 1}}^2, \sigma_{z_{gg, 2}}^2, \ldots \sigma_{z_{gg, N}}^2 \), respectively,

\[ \sigma_{z_{gg, out}}^2 = \sigma_{z_{gg, i}}^2 \text{ for } N = 1 \]

\[ \sigma_{z_{gg, out}}^2 = \sigma_{z_{gg, 1}}^2 x_{n12}^2 x_{n21}^2 \cdots x_{nN2}^2 \left( 1 + \frac{z_{gg, out}^2 \sigma_{z_{gg, 2}}^2}{\prod_{i=2}^N x_{n2i}^2} + \frac{z_{gg, out}^2 \sigma_{z_{gg, 3}}^2}{\prod_{i=3}^N x_{n3i}^2} + \cdots \right) \]

\[ \sigma_{z_{gg, out}}^2 = \sigma_{z_{gg, 1}}^2 x_{n12}^2 x_{n21}^2 \cdots x_{nN2}^2 \left( 1 + \frac{z_{gg, out}^2 \sigma_{z_{gg, 2}}^2}{\prod_{i=2}^N x_{n2i}^2} + \frac{z_{gg, out}^2 \sigma_{z_{gg, 3}}^2}{\prod_{i=3}^N x_{n3i}^2} + \cdots \right) \]
Finally, by substituting (27) in (25) and then (12) and (25) in (24), we obtained (2N-1)-folded integral expression for LCR for a given threshold \( z_{TH, \text{gg, out}} \) over N-gg RP, given as:

\[
N_{z_{\text{gg, out}}}(z_{TH, \text{gg, out}}) = \frac{2^{2N-1}a_1^2 \beta_1^3 \alpha_2 \beta_2^3 \cdots a_N^2 \beta_N^3 \int_{-\frac{z_{TH, \text{gg, out}}}{\gamma}}^{\frac{z_{TH, \text{gg, out}}}{\gamma}} \frac{a_N^a \beta_N^b \gamma}{\gamma(a_N \gamma)'(\gamma N)'} a_{i-1}^a I_3
\]  

(28)

where, \( I_3 \) is (2N-1)-folded integral expressed as:

\[
I_3 = \int_{0}^{\infty} dx_{n,12} \int_{0}^{\infty} dx_{n,21} \cdots \int_{0}^{\infty} dx_{n,N1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \sigma_{z_{\text{gg, out}}} dx_{n,N2}
\]  

(29)

The closed form derivation by LIF for \( N_{z_{\text{TH,gg, out}}}(z_{TH, \text{gg, out}}) \) is presented in the Appendix. We close this section with derivation of average fade duration (AFD) for a given TI fading threshold \( z_{TH, \text{gg, out}} \) of N-gg RP as:

\[
AFD(z_{TH, \text{gg, out}}) = \frac{F_{z_{\text{gg, out}}}(z_{TH, \text{gg, out}})}{N_{z_{\text{gg, out}}}(z_{TH, \text{gg, out}})} = \sqrt{2\pi(a_1 - 1)!} \left( \frac{\int_{-\frac{z_{TH, \text{gg, out}}}{\gamma}}^{\frac{z_{TH, \text{gg, out}}}{\gamma}} \frac{a_N^a \beta_N^b \gamma}{\gamma(a_N \gamma)'(\gamma N)'} \sum_{k=0}^{a_{i-1}^a \gamma_{Z_{\text{TH,gg, out}}} a_{i-1}^a I_3} \frac{\gamma_{Z_{\text{TH,gg, out}}}^k}{k!} \right)
\]  

(30)

where \( I_2 \) and \( I_3 \) are integral expressions in terms of \( z_{TH, \text{gg, out}} \), already obtained in (19) and (29), respectively. Closed form mathematical development for AFD(\( z_{TH, \text{gg, out}} \)) is also presented in Appendix.

### 6. Numerical Results

In this section we present some numerical results for second order statistics of N-hop FSO AFR system over gg TI fading channels.

The N-hop FSO AFR end-to-end link is modeled with N-gg distribution, where numerical results are computed for various optical fading severity parameters (\( \alpha_i, \beta_i, i = 1,N \)) and various gg irradiance variances (\( \sigma_{gg,i}^2 = \frac{1}{\alpha_i} + \frac{1}{\beta_i} \)) [22]-[24]. The \( \sigma_{gg,i}^2, i = 1,N \) in (27) are zero mean Gaussian (G) RPs assumed to take the same value, \( \sigma_{gg}^2 = \sigma_{gg,i}^2 = \nu_{0,i}^2 \sigma_{gg,i}^2, \) as given in [35, eq. (13)], where we take the normalized \( \langle Z_i \rangle = 1 \) for gg RP. Moreover, \( \nu_{0,i} \) is so called quasi frequency of the \( i \)-th link specified as the frequency of fades when output signal is equal to received signal light [34]-[35] and can be further expressed as \( \nu_{0,i} = \frac{1}{\pi \tau_{0,i} \nu_0^2} \) [35, eq. (15)]. Furthermore, \( \tau_{0,i} = \frac{2 \lambda_i}{u \tau_i} \) is turbulence correlation time of i-th link, where \( \lambda_i \) is optical window, \( L_i \) is optical distance and \( u \tau_i \) is average wind
speed of \(i\)-th FSO link [35].

The paper [15] provides the impact of the number of hops on second order statistics for weak TI fading conditions of multi-hop FSO system. In order to explore moderate and strong TI fading conditions we consider that all links of \(N\)-hop FSO AFR system are exposed to moderate \((\alpha = \alpha_i = 5.42, \beta = \beta_i = 3.8)\) or strong \((\alpha = \alpha_i = 4, \beta = \beta_i = 1.71)\) fading conditions. The TI fading parameters are summarized in Table III.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Turbulence</th>
<th>(\alpha = \alpha_i)</th>
<th>(\beta = \beta_i)</th>
<th>(C_n^2 = C_{n_i}^2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moderate Turbulence</td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>(3 \cdot 10^{-14} m^{-2/3})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strong Turbulence</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>(1 \cdot 10^{-13} m^{-2/3})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Without loss of generality, we assume the system setting parameters of each \(i\)-th link of \(N\)-hop FSO AFR end-to-end system \((\lambda = \lambda_i = 1550 nm, ut = ut_i = \frac{10 m}{s}, L = L_i = 1000 m)\) are as in [35].

Fig. 2 reports the behavior of \(N_{zg_{g, out}}(z_{TH,g_{g, out}})\) in moderate and strong TI fading conditions for various numbers of links at the output of \(N\)-hop AFR FSO end-to-end communications. Comparison between LIF approximate results and results derived from exact analytical integral expression shows that the matching for observed system parameters is well achieved, especially for higher output threshold dB values. The one-hop FSO communications over gg RP, especially for moderate TI fading conditions provides the lowest \(N_{zg_{g, out}}(z_{TH,g_{g, out}})\) values for the whole range of \(z_{TH,g_{g, out}}\). It can be seen that by shifting from strong to moderate TI fading conditions, \(N_{zg_{g, out}}(z_{TH,g_{g, out}})\) decreases, as expected. Moreover, we observe the impact of different number of hops (for example \(N=1, N=2\) and \(N=4\)) on \(N_{zg_{g, out}}(z_{TH,g_{g, out}})\). It can be concluded that increase in number of hops leads to increase in \(N_{zg_{g, out}}(z_{TH,g_{g, out}})\) for the same TI fading conditions and is expected to increase further for higher number of hops. It is also evident that the impact of TI fading severities on \(N_{zg_{g, out}}(z_{TH,g_{g, out}})\) are more dominant in lower \(z_{TH,g_{g, out}}\) dB regime while the number of hops are more dominant in higher \(z_{TH,g_{g, out}}\) dB regime on \(N_{zg_{g, out}}(z_{TH,g_{g, out}})\) for the proposed model and for the observed system model parameters. It can be seen that in lower \(z_{TH,g_{g, out}}\) dB regime, the \(N_{zg_{g, out}}(z_{TH,g_{g, out}})\) has lower values due to the increased
probability of signal envelope being below $z_{TH,gg, out}$. It can be further noticed that at the value around 0–1 dB of $z_{TH,gg, out}$, $N_{zzg, out}(z_{TH,gg, out})$ has higher values due to increased probability of signal envelope shifting from below to above $z_{TH,gg, out}$ level and vice versa. At this point, $N_{zg, out}(z_{TH,gg, out})$ is mainly independent of TI fading conditions and the number of relays. Moreover, in higher $z_{TH,gg, out}$ dB regime the $N_{zg, out}(z_{TH,gg, out})$ has again lower values due to the increased probability of signal envelope being above $z_{TH,gg, out}$.

The behavior of AFD($z_{TH,gg, out}$) is shown in Fig. 3. Shifting from strong to moderate TI fading conditions leads to AFD($z_{TH,gg, out}$) decrease for lower $z_{TH,gg, out}$ dB values while AFD($z_{TH,gg, out}$) increase for higher $z_{TH,gg, out}$ dB values. Fig. 3 is presented from -10 dB to 20 dB since in that range behavior of AFD($z_{TH,gg, out}$) in relation to system model parameters can be well observed and fitting between exact and approximative AFD($z_{TH,gg, out}$) can be determined. Below this range approximation for AFD($z_{TH,gg, out}$) fails to follow the behavior of exact AFD($z_{TH,gg, out}$). One need to have in mind that moderate TI fading is assumed to be ($\alpha = 5$, $\beta = 3.8$) since $\alpha$ must be integer in (18). Similar trend is noticeable with the increase of the number of hops. Namely, with increase in the number of hops, AFD($z_{TH,gg, out}$) increases for lower $z_{TH,gg, out}$ while decreases for higher $z_{TH,gg, out}$ values.

It can be noticed that at the value around 0–1 dB of $z_{TH,gg, out}$, $N_{zg, out}(z_{TH,gg, out})$ and AFD($z_{TH,gg, out}$) are independent of TI fading conditions and the number of relays.

Fig. 4 provides $N_{zg, out}(z_{TH,gg, out})$ behavior of dissimilar TI fading conditions of N-hop FSO AFR system. It can be seen that in the case of higher number of links, moderate TI fading conditions and lower $N$ can cause $N_{zg, out}(z_{TH,gg, out})$ to decrease. AFD($z_{TH,gg, out}$) in dissimilar TI fading conditions of N-hop FSO AFR system is presented in Fig 5.

The $N_{zg, out}$ versus $N$ (number of hops) under different TI fading conditions and for different $z_{TH,gg, out}$ is presented in Fig. 6. It can be noticed that increasing of observed $z_{TH,gg, out}$ can decrease not only $N_{zg, out}$ but also the impact between moderate and strong TI fading conditions. Moreover, the observed numerical results suggest that selection of adequate $z_{TH,gg, out}$ can diminish the impact between moderate and strong TI fading conditions for the observed number of hops. Fig. 7 provides the AFD versus $N$ (number of hops) under moderate and strong TI fading conditions and for different $z_{TH,gg, out}$. It can be
concluded that increasing number of hops for N-hop AFR FSO communications can diminish the impact between moderate and strong TI fading conditions for the observed $z_{TH,gg,\text{out}}$.

By comparing obtained results for $N_{z_{gg,\text{out}}}(z_{TH,gg,\text{out}})$ and $\text{AFD}(z_{TH,gg,\text{out}})$ with available results in the literature [15]-[16], [19], [31]-[35] similar behavior in relation to the number of hops and fading severity parameters can be noticed. Moreover, the obtained results in [28]-[29] indicate that higher number of hops can improve performances in terms of BER and Ergodic Capacity. On the other hand, the [15] shows that increase in number of hops for multi-hop FSO communications can provide AFD to increase for observed parameters, as has been shown for lower $z_{TH,gg,\text{out}}$ values in Figure 3.

### TABLE IV. SYSTEM PERFORMANCES EXECUTION TIME

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System Performance</th>
<th>$N_{z_{gg,\text{out}}}(z_{TH,gg,\text{out}})$</th>
<th>$\text{AFD}(z_{TH,gg,\text{out}})$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Relays</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N=1</td>
<td>N=2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Execution Time in sec. (Exact)</td>
<td>0.328125</td>
<td>114.906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Execution Time in sec. (App.)</td>
<td>0.015625</td>
<td>0.018625</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table IV presents execution time of exact and approximative $N_{z_{gg,\text{out}}}(z_{TH,gg,\text{out}})$ and $\text{AFD}(z_{TH,gg,\text{out}})$ expressions in Wolfram MATHEMATICA for the range from -20dB to 20dB. It can be observed, that execution time of exact expressions takes much more time than execution time of closed form approximative expressions.

7. Conclusion

In this paper, the second-order statistics of the N-hop FSO AFR link over gg TI fading channels are considered. A LIF and exponential LIF based mathematical approach have been applied for derivation of approximate closed-form expressions for i.) PDF, ii.) CDF, iii.) LCR and iv.) AFD. The CDF and AFD expressions are valid only for an integer value of $\alpha_1$, since those expressions are dependent on the finite sum expression that goes from zero to $\alpha_1 - 1$. Moreover, comparisons of exact, integral-form analytical expressions and LIF based approximated, fast-computing, closed-form expressions of $N_{z_{gg,\text{out}}}(z_{TH,gg,\text{out}})$ and $\text{AFD}(z_{TH,gg,\text{out}})$ for moderate and strong TI fading conditions and for different number of hops are provided. The system performance improvement is evident by shifting from strong to moderate TI fading conditions, especially for the lower $z_{TH,gg,\text{out}}$ dB values. The increasing number of relays leads to increase in $N_{z_{gg,\text{out}}}(z_{TH,gg,\text{out}})$ for all $z_{TH,gg,\text{out}}$ values under the same TI fading conditions and increase in $\text{AFD}(z_{TH,gg,\text{out}})$ for
lower $z_{TH,gg,\text{out}}$ values under the same TI fading conditions. Thus, the number of relays can indeed impact the system performances in time-variant TI fading channels. One can conclude that multi-hop FSO link design needs to take into account the number of hops on second-order statistics in order to achieve the best performances. Our future works are envisioned to include experimental and simulation verification of the results on the second order statistics on $N$-hop AFR FSO systems over gg TI fading channels. Moreover, the pointing errors and DFRs are also envisioned to be included in the future results.

**Appendix**

The system is modeled with the product of $2N$ random variables $\prod_{i=1}^{N} x_{n,i1}^2 x_{n,i2}^2$, $i = 1, N$; resulting in (2N-1)-folded analytical integral expressions for $p_{z_{gg,\text{out}}}(z_{gg,\text{out}})$, $F_{z_{gg,\text{out}}}(z_{gg,\text{out}})$, $N_{z_{gg,\text{out}}}(z_{TH,gg,\text{out}})$ and AFD($z_{TH,gg,\text{out}}$), already presented in (16), (18), (28) and (30), respectively. The (2N-1)-folded integrals can be solved by direct application of general LIF [19, eq. (I.3)], given as:

$$
\int_0^\infty dx_{n,12} \int_0^\infty dx_{n,21} \cdots \int_0^\infty dx_{n,N1} \int_0^\infty \cdots \int_0^\infty \cdots \int_0^\infty f_1(x_{n,12}, x_{n,21}, \ldots, x_{n,N1}, x_{n,N2}) e^{-\gamma f_2(x_{n,12}, x_{n,21}, \ldots, x_{n,N1}, x_{n,N2})} dx_{n,N2} 
$$

$$
= \frac{2^{2N-1}}{\gamma N} f_1(x_{n,12}(0), x_{n,21}(0), \ldots, x_{n,N2}(0)) e^{-\gamma f_2(x_{n,12}(0), x_{n,21}(0), \ldots, x_{n,N2}(0))}
$$

(31)

where $x_{n,12}(0), x_{n,21}(0), \ldots, x_{n,N2}(0)$ are obtain from the set of differential equations, respectively,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial f_2(x_{n,12}(0), x_{n,21}(0), \ldots, x_{n,N2}(0))}{\partial x_{n,12}(0)} &= 0 \\
\frac{\partial f_2(x_{n,12}(0), x_{n,21}(0), \ldots, x_{n,N2}(0))}{\partial x_{n,21}(0)} &= 0 \\
&\vdots \\
\frac{\partial f_2(x_{n,12}(0), x_{n,21}(0), \ldots, x_{n,N2}(0))}{\partial x_{n,N2}(0)} &= 0
\end{align*}
$$

(32)

whereas, $h$ is Hessian matrix given as:

$$
h = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{\partial^2 f_2(x_{n,12}(0), \ldots, x_{n,N2}(0))}{\partial x_{n,12}(0)^2} & \frac{\partial^2 f_2(x_{n,12}(0), \ldots, x_{n,N2}(0))}{\partial x_{n,12}(0) \partial x_{n,21}(0)} & \ldots & \frac{\partial^2 f_2(x_{n,12}(0), \ldots, x_{n,N2}(0))}{\partial x_{n,12}(0) \partial x_{n,N2}(0)} \\
\frac{\partial^2 f_2(x_{n,12}(0), \ldots, x_{n,N2}(0))}{\partial x_{n,21}(0) \partial x_{n,12}(0)} & \frac{\partial^2 f_2(x_{n,12}(0), \ldots, x_{n,N2}(0))}{\partial x_{n,21}(0)^2} & \ldots & \frac{\partial^2 f_2(x_{n,12}(0), \ldots, x_{n,N2}(0))}{\partial x_{n,21}(0) \partial x_{n,N2}(0)} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\frac{\partial^2 f_2(x_{n,12}(0), \ldots, x_{n,N2}(0))}{\partial x_{n,N2}(0) \partial x_{n,12}(0)} & \frac{\partial^2 f_2(x_{n,12}(0), \ldots, x_{n,N2}(0))}{\partial x_{n,N2}(0) \partial x_{n,21}(0)} & \ldots & \frac{\partial^2 f_2(x_{n,12}(0), \ldots, x_{n,N2}(0))}{\partial x_{n,N2}(0)^2}
\end{bmatrix}
$$

(33)
The complexity of LIF based mathematical approach for derivation of closed form analytical approximate expressions increase with the number of RPs (for instance by modelling N-hop AFR over gg TI fading channels as a product of 2N-Nakagami-m squared RPs, we need to solve (2N-1)-folded integrals for \( p_{z_{gg,\text{out}}} \), \( F_{z_{gg,\text{out}}} \), \( N_{z_{gg,\text{out}}} \) and AFD(\( z_{TH,gg,\text{out}} \)). This can be done by using software package Mathematica and by applying appropriate algorithm that can be efficiently used in practice. Moreover, LIF based mathematical method can significantly decrease computational time if compared to integral form analytical expressions.

**Appendix A**

**Closed form PDF derivation**

Approximate closed form \( p_{z_{gg,\text{out}}} \) is obtained from (16) by solving \( I_1 \) in (17), using exponential LIF for the following set of functions:

\[
\begin{align*}
\gamma &= 1 \\
\quad f_1(x_{n,12}(0), x_{n,21}(0) ... x_{n,N2}(0)) &= 1 \\
\quad f_2(x_{n,12}(0), x_{n,21}(0) ... x_{n,N2}(0)) &= \frac{\alpha_1 z_{gg,\text{out}}^{\alpha_1}}{x_{n,12}(0)^{\alpha_2} x_{n,21}(0)^{\alpha_2} ... x_{n,N2}(0)^{\alpha_2}} + \beta_1 x_{n,12}(0)^{\beta_1} + \alpha_2 x_{n,21}(0)^{\alpha_2} ... + \beta_N x_{n,N2}(0)^{\beta_N} \\
&\quad - (2\beta_1 - 2\alpha_1 - 1) \ln(x_{n,12}(0)) - (2\alpha_2 - 2\alpha_2 - 1) \ln(x_{n,21}(0)) ... - (2\beta_N - 2\alpha_1 - 1) \ln(x_{n,N2}(0)) \\
\end{align*}
\]

(34)

where \( I_1 \) in (17) is expressed as a fully exponential function by applying simple transformation:

\[
\begin{align*}
\quad x_{n,12}^{2\beta_1 - 2\alpha_1 - 1} x_{n,21}^{2\alpha_2 - 2\alpha_2 - 1} ... x_{n,N2}^{2\beta_N - 2\alpha_1 - 1} &= \exp((2\beta_1 - 2\alpha_1 - 1) \ln(x_{n,12}) + (2\alpha_2 - 2\alpha_2 - 1) \ln(x_{n,21}) ... + (2\beta_N - 2\alpha_1 - 1) \ln(x_{n,N2})) \\
\end{align*}
\]

(35)

After solving (32) for the particular \( f_2(x_{n,12}(0), x_{n,21}(0) ... x_{n,N2}(0)) \) from (34) and after substitutions of (33) and (34) in (31), the \( I_1 \) in (17) can be obtained and substituted in (16) for derivation of closed form expression for \( p_{z_{gg,\text{out}}} \).

**Appendix B**

**Closed form CDF derivation**
Similarly, approximate closed form expression for $F_{z_{gg, \text{out}}}(z_{gg, \text{out}})$ is obtained from solving integral $I_2$ in (18) and substituting in (19), using exponential LIF for the following arguments:

$$
\gamma = 1
$$

$$
f_1 \left(x_{n,12}(0), x_{n,21}(0) ... x_{n,N2}(0) \right) = 1
$$

$$
f_2 \left(x_{n,12}(0), x_{n,21}(0) ... x_{n,N2}(0) \right) = \frac{\alpha_1 z_{TH, g, \text{out}}}{x_{n,12}(0)^2 x_{n,21}(0)^2 ... x_{n,N2}(0)^2} + \beta_1 x_{n,12}(0)^2 + \alpha_2 x_{n,21}(0)^2 ... + \beta_N x_{n,N2}(0)^2
$$

$$
-(2\beta_1 - 2k - 1) \ln \left(x_{n,12}(0) \right) - (2\alpha_2 - 2k - 1) \ln \left(x_{n,21}(0) \right) ... -(2\beta_N - 2k - 1) \ln \left(x_{n,N2}(0) \right)
$$

(36)

where we used simple transformation in (19):

$$
x_{n,12}^{2\beta_1 - 2k - 1} x_{n,21}^{2\alpha_2 - 2k - 1} ... x_{n,N2}^{2\beta_N - 2k - 1} = e^{(2\beta_1 - 2k - 1) \ln (x_{n,12}) + (2\alpha_2 - 2k - 1) \ln (x_{n,21}) ... + (2\beta_N - 2k - 1) \ln (x_{n,N2})}
$$

(37)

After calculating (32) for $f_2 \left(x_{n,12}(0), x_{n,21}(0) ... x_{n,N2}(0) \right)$ from (36) and after some mathematical derivations and substitutions of (33) and (36) in (31), $I_2$ in (19) is solved and substituted in (18) for derivation of closed form expression for $F_{z_{gg, \text{out}}}(z_{gg, \text{out}})$.

**Appendix C**

**Closed form second order statistics derivation**

The approximate $N_{z_{gg, \text{out}}} (z_{TH, g, \text{out}})$ of N-gg RP is solved form (28) by applying LIF in (29) for the functions:

$$
\gamma = 1
$$

$$
f_1 \left(x_{n,12}(0), x_{n,21}(0) ... x_{n,N2}(0) \right) = 1, \quad N = 1
$$

$$
f_1 \left(x_{n,12}(0), x_{n,21}(0) ... x_{n,N2}(0) \right) = \left(1 + \frac{z_{TH, g, \text{out}}^2 \sigma_{z_{n,1}}^2 / \sigma_{z_{n,1}}^2}{x_{n,21}^2 x_{n,22}^2 x_{n,31}^2 x_{n,32}^2 ... x_{n,N1}^2 x_{n,N2}^2} + \frac{z_{TH, g, \text{out}}^2 \sigma_{z_{n,1}}^2 / \sigma_{z_{n,1}}^2}{x_{n,21}^4 x_{n,22}^4 x_{n,31}^4 x_{n,32}^4 ... x_{n,N1}^4 x_{n,N2}^4} ... + \frac{z_{TH, g, \text{out}}^2 \sigma_{z_{n,1}}^2 / \sigma_{z_{n,1}}^2}{x_{n,21}^4 x_{n,22}^4 x_{n,31}^4 x_{n,32}^4 ... x_{n,N1}^4 x_{n,N2}^4} \right)^{1/2}, \quad N > 1
$$

$$
f_2 \left(x_{n,12}(0), x_{n,21}(0) ... x_{n,N2}(0) \right) = \frac{\alpha_1 z_{TH, g, \text{out}}}{x_{n,12}(0)^2 x_{n,21}(0)^2 ... x_{n,N2}(0)^2} + \beta_1 x_{n,12}(0)^2 + \alpha_2 x_{n,21}(0)^2 ... + \beta_N x_{n,N2}(0)^2
$$

$$
-(2\beta_1 - 2\alpha_1 - 1) \ln \left(x_{n,12}(0) \right) - (2\alpha_2 - 2\alpha_1 + 1) \ln \left(x_{n,21}(0) \right) ... -(2\beta_N - 2\alpha_1 + 1) \ln \left(x_{n,N2}(0) \right)
$$

(38)
where we use similar transformation in (29):

\[ x_{n,12}^{2\beta_1-2a_1-1} x_{n,21}^{2a_2-2a_1+1} \ldots x_{n,N}^{2\beta_N-2a_1+1} = e^{(2\beta_1-2a_1-1)\ln(x_{n,12})+(2a_2-2a_1+1)\ln(x_{n,21})+\ldots+(2\beta_N-2a_1+1)\ln(x_{n,N})} \]  

(39)

The approximate closed form \( N_{gg,\text{out}}(z_{TH,gg,\text{out}}) \) is derived from (28) by evaluating \( I_3 \) in (29), where after calculating (32) for this particular case and after appropriate substitutions of (33) and (38) in (31). Finally, \( AFD(z_{TH,gg,\text{out}}) \) is derived as approximate closed form expression as the ratio of approximate closed form expressions for \( F_{gg,\text{out}}(z_{gg,\text{out}}) \) and \( N_{gg,\text{out}}(z_{TH,gg,\text{out}}) \).
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Fig. 1. Simplified model of N-hop amplify-and-forward relay (AFR) communication system over gg TI fading channels.
Fig. 2. LCR (s$^{-1}$) versus $Z_{TH,gg,\text{out}}$ under different TI fading conditions and for various number of hops.

Fig. 3. AFD (s) versus $Z_{TH,gg,\text{out}}$ under different TI fading conditions and for various number of hops.
Fig. 4. LCR (s\(^{-1}\)) versus \(Z_{TH,gg,out}\) [dB] under dissimilar TI fading conditions and for different number of hops.

Fig. 5. AFD (s) versus \(Z_{TH,gg,out}\) [dB] under dissimilar TI fading conditions.
Fig. 6. LCR (s⁻¹) versus N under different TI fading conditions and for different $z_{TH,gg,out}$.

Fig. 7. AFD (s) versus N under different TI fading conditions and for different $z_{TH,gg,out}$. 