

Erratum: Shortcuts to adiabaticity for non-Hermitian systems [Phys. Rev. A **84, 023415 (2011)]**

S. Ibáñez, S. Martínez-Garaot, Xi Chen, E. Torrontegui, and J. G. Muga

(Received 23 June 2012; published 9 July 2012)

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevA.86.019901](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.86.019901)

PACS number(s): 32.80.Qk, 42.50.-p, 99.10.Cd

In the original paper, the adiabaticity criterion of Eq. (17) is only appropriate when a number of conditions, that were not made explicit, are satisfied. They hold approximately for the example in Sec. IV C but should be stated.

We first assume a “weak-non-Hermiticity regime” [1] so that the adiabatic solutions in Eqs. (10) and (11) hold with adiabatic phases given by Eqs. (14) and (15) [2,3]. In Sec. II A, the “parallel transport” condition, namely, $\langle \hat{n} | \dot{\hat{n}} \rangle = 0$ must be satisfied so that Eq. (19) holds true. Equation (20), which is only an order-of-magnitude estimate as in Ref. [4], follows from approximating the integral in Eq. (19) with constant functions $\langle \hat{n} | \dot{\hat{n}} \rangle$ and $E_n - E_m$ and imposing again weak non-Hermiticity, in particular, that $\exp\{-\int_0^t \text{Im}[E_n(t') - E_m(t')] dt'\} \approx 1$.

There is also an error in Sec. III: The phases $\xi_n(t)$ should obey $\xi_n(t_f) = \beta_n(t_f) + \delta_n$ with δ_n real at the final time t_f . Finally, in the paragraph before Eq. (29), “populations” should be substituted by “component norms.” The population concept is problematic due to the normalization ambiguity for non-Hermitian systems [5].

[1] G. Nenciu and G. Rasche, *J. Phys. A* **25**, 5741 (1992).

[2] J. C. Garrison and E. M. Wright, *Phys. Lett. A* **128**, 177 (1988).

[3] A. Kvitinsky and S. Putterman, *J. Math. Phys.* **32**, 1403 (1991).

[4] L. I. Schiff, *Quantum Mechanics* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1981).

[5] A. Leclerc, D. Viennot, and G. Jolicard, [arXiv:1202.3290](https://arxiv.org/abs/1202.3290).