Asymptotic Properties of the Bernstein Density Copula for Dependent Data
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Abstract
Copulas are extensively used for dependence modeling. In many cases the data does not reveal how the dependence can be modeled using a particular parametric copula. Nonparametric copulas do not share this problem since they are entirely data based. This paper proposes nonparametric estimation of the density copula for $\alpha$-mixing data using Bernstein polynomials. We study the asymptotic properties of the Bernstein density copula, i.e., we provide the exact asymptotic bias and variance, we establish the uniform strong consistency and the asymptotic normality.
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1 Introduction

The correlation coefficient of Pearson, the Kendall’s tau, and Spearman’s rho are widely used to measure the dependence between variables. Despite their simplicity to implement and interpret, they are not able to capture all forms of dependence. The copula function has the advantage to model completely the dependence among variables. Further, the above coefficients of dependence can be deduced from the copula. Thanks to Sklar (1959), the copula function is directly linked to the distribution function. Indeed, the distribution function can be controlled by marginal distributions, which give the information on each component, and the copula that captures the dependence between components.

There are several ways to estimate copulas. First, the parametric approach imposes a specific model for both the copula and marginal distributions. We estimate the parameters using the maximum likelihood or inference function for margins. This approach is widely used in practice because of its simplicity, see Oakes (1982) and Joe (2005) for details. Second, the semiparametric approach assumes a parametric model for the copula and a nonparametric model for the marginal distributions. This approach is studied by Oakes (1982), Genest and Rivest (1993), and Genest, Ghoudi, and Rivest (1995). These methods are not efficient since they involve two-step estimation. To overcome this problem, Chen, Fan, and Tsyrennikov (2006) use the sieve maximum likelihood estimation. Chen and Fan (2006) investigate the semiparametric approach for the estimation of copula in the context of dependent data. In order to reduce dimensionality and to remove the problem of boundary bias when the support of the variables is bounded, Bouezmarni and Rombouts (2008) propose a semiparametric estimation procedure for a multivariate density with a parametric copula and asymmetric kernels density estimators for the marginal densities. In this paper, we are interested in a third way of estimating copula functions, which is nonparametric estimation. This approach considers nonparametric models for both the copula and marginal distributions. Deheuvels (1979) suggests the multivariate empirical distribution to estimate the copula function. Gijbels and Mielniczuk (1990) estimate a bivariate copula using smoothing kernel methods. Also, they suggest the reflection method in order to solve the boundary bias problem of the kernel methods. Chen and Huang (2007) propose a bivariate estimator based on the local linear estimator, which is consistent everywhere in the support of the copula function. Rödel (1987) uses the orthogonal series method. For independent and identically distributed \( (i.i.d.) \) data, Sancetta and Satchell (2004) develop a Bernstein polynomial estimator of the copula function and find an upper bound of the asymptotic bias and variance and the asymptotic normality of the Bernstein density copula estimator.

In this paper, we consider \( \alpha \)-mixing dependent data and propose nonparametric estimation of
the density copula based on the Bernstein polynomials. We study the asymptotic properties of the Bernstein density copula, i.e., we provide the exact asymptotic bias and variance, and we establish the uniform strong consistency and the asymptotic normality of Bernstein estimator for the density copula.

Motivated by Weierstrass theorem, Bernstein polynomials are considered by Lorentz (1953) who proves that any continuous function can be approximated by Bernstein polynomials. For density functions, estimation using the Bernstein polynomial is suggested by Vitale (1975) and with a slight modification by Gravrönski and Stadtmüller (1981). Tenbusch (1994) investigates the Bernstein estimator for bivariate density functions and Bouezmarni and Rolin (2007) prove the consistency of Bernstein estimator for unbounded density functions. Kakizawa (2004) and Kakizawa (2006) consider the Bernstein polynomial to estimate density and spectral density functions, respectively. Tenbusch (1997) and Brown and Chen (1999) propose estimators of the regression functions based on the Bernstein polynomial. In the Bayesian context, Bernstein polynomials are explored by Petrone (1999a), Petrone (1999b), Petrone and Wasserman (2002), and Ghosal (2001).

This paper is organized as follows. The Bernstein copula estimator is introduced in Section 2. Section 3 provides the asymptotic properties of the Bernstein density copula estimator, that is the asymptotic bias and variance, the uniform strong consistency, and the asymptotic normality for mixing dependent data. Section 4 concludes.

2 Bernstein copula estimator

Let $X = \{(X_{i1}, ..., X_{id})^T, i = 1, ..., n\}$ be a sample of $n$ observations of $\alpha$-mixing vectors in $\mathbb{R}^d$, with distribution function $F$ and density function $f$. A sequence is $\alpha$-mixing of order $h$ if the mixing coefficient $\alpha(h)$ goes to zero as the order $h$ goes to infinite, where

$$\alpha(h) = \sup_{A \in \mathcal{F}_t^1(X), B \in \mathcal{F}_{t+h}^\infty(X)} |P(A \cap B) - P(A)P(B)|,$$

$\mathcal{F}_t^1(X)$ and $\mathcal{F}_{t+h}^\infty(X)$ are the σ-field of events generated by $\{X_l, l \leq t\}$ and $\{X_l, l \geq t + h\}$, respectively. The concept of $\alpha$-mixing is omnipresent in time series analysis and is less restrictive than $\beta$ and $\rho$-mixing. The following condition requires an $\alpha$-mixing coefficient with exponential decay. We assume that the process $X$ is $\alpha$-mixing such that

$$\alpha(h) \leq \rho^h, \quad h \geq 1,$$

for some constant $0 < \rho < 1$.

According to Sklar (1959), the distribution function of $X$ can be expressed via a copula:

$$F(x_1, ..., x_d) = C(F_1(x_1), ..., F_d(x_d)),$$
where $F_j$ is the marginal distribution function of random variable $X^j = \{X_{1j}, \ldots, X_{nj}\}$ and $C$ is a copula function which captures the dependence in $X$. Deheuvels (1979) uses a nonparametric approach, based on the empirical distribution function, to estimate the distribution copula. Using Bernstein polynomials, to smooth the empirical distribution, Sancetta and Satchell (2004) propose the empirical Bernstein copula which is defined as follows: for $s = (s_1, \ldots, s_d) \in [0,1]^d$

$$\hat{C}(s_1, \ldots, s_d) = \sum_{v_1=0}^{k-1} \cdots \sum_{v_d=0}^{k-1} F_n \left( \frac{v_1}{k}, \ldots, \frac{v_d}{k} \right) \prod_{j=1}^d p_{v_j}(s_j),$$

(3)

where $k$ is an integer playing the role of the bandwidth parameter, $F_n$ is the empirical distribution function of $X$, and $p_{v_j}(s_j)$ is the binomial distribution function:

$$p_{v_j}(s_j) = \binom{k-1}{v_j} s_j^{v_j} (1-s_j)^{k-v_j-1}.$$

If we derive (2) with respect to $(x_1, \ldots, x_d)$, we obtain the density function, say $f(x_1, \ldots, x_d)$, of $X$ that can be expressed as follows:

$$f(x_1, \ldots, x_d) = (f_1(x_1) \times \cdots \times f_d(x_d)) \times c(F_1(x_1), \ldots, F_d(x_d)), $$

where $f_j$ is the marginal density of the random variable $X^j$ and $c$ is the copula density. Hence, the estimation of the joint density function can be done by estimating the univariate marginal densities and the copula density function. In this paper, we estimate the copula density function using Bernstein polynomials. Indeed, if we derive (3) with respect to $(s_1, \ldots, s_d)$ we obtain the Bernstein density copula:

$$\hat{c}(s_1, \ldots, s_d) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_k(s, S_i)$$

(4)

where $S_i = (F_1(X_{i1}), \ldots, F_d(X_{id}))$,

$$K_k(s, S_i) = k^d \sum_{v_1=0}^{k-1} \cdots \sum_{v_d=0}^{k-1} A_{S_i,v} \prod_{j=1}^d p_{v_j}(s_j),$$

and

$$A_{S_i,v} = 1_{\{S_i \in B_v\}}, \quad \text{with} \quad B_v = \left[ \frac{v_1}{k}, \frac{v_1+1}{k} \right] \times \cdots \times \left[ \frac{v_d}{k}, \frac{v_d+1}{k} \right].$$

In what follows, we denote the multiple sums $\sum_{v_1=0}^{k-1} \cdots \sum_{v_d=0}^{k-1}$ by $\sum_v$. The Bernstein estimator for the density copula function is simple to implement, non-negative, and integrates to one. Sancetta and Satchell (2004) give the upper bounds of the bias and variance of the Bernstein copula density estimator for $i.i.d$ observations. In this paper, we provide the asymptotic properties of the Bernstein copula density for $\alpha$-mixing dependent data. For such data, we give the exact asymptotic bias and variance, we prove the uniform almost sure (a.s.) convergence of the Bernstein density copula, and we establish its asymptotic normality.
3 Main results

This section studies the asymptotic properties of the Bernstein density copula estimator. We first show that the asymptotic bias of the Bernstein density copula estimator has a uniform rate of convergence. Hence, asymptotically there is no boundary bias problem. Second, we provide the exact asymptotic variance of the estimator in the interior region. Finally, we establish the uniform strong consistency and the asymptotic normality of the Bernstein density copula estimator. We start by studying the bias of the Bernstein density copula estimator. The following proposition gives the exact asymptotic bias. To stress that the bandwidth depends on $n$, we replace $k$ by $k_n$.

**Proposition 1 (Asymptotic Bias).** Suppose that the density copula function $c$ is twice differentiable. Let $\hat{c}$ be the Bernstein density copula estimator of $c$ as defined in (4). Then, for $s = (s_1, ..., s_d) \in (0, 1)^d$, if $k_n \to \infty$, we have

$$E(\hat{c}(s)) = c(s) + k_n^{-1} \gamma^*(s) + o(k_n^{-1})$$

where

$$\gamma^*(s) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^d \left\{ \frac{dc(s)}{ds_j} (1 - 2s_j) + \frac{d^2 c(s)}{ds_j^2} s_j (1 - s_j) \right\}.$$ 

**Proof.** Using a second order Taylor expansion and various sums we have

$$E(\hat{c}(s)) - c(s) = k_n^d \sum_v \left\{ \int_{B_v} (c(u) - c(s)) \, du \right\} \prod_{j=1}^d p_{v_j}(s_j)$$

$$= k_n^d \sum_v \left\{ \int_{B_v} \left( u_l - s_l \right) \, du_l \right\} \prod_{j=1}^d p_{v_j}(s_j)$$

$$+ \frac{k_n^d}{2} \sum_v \left\{ \int_{B_v} \left( u_l - s_l \right)^2 \, du_l \right\} \prod_{j=1}^d p_{v_j}(s_j)$$

$$+ \frac{k_n^d}{2} \sum_v \left\{ \int_{B_v} \left( u_l - s_l \right)^2 \, du_l \right\} \prod_{j=1}^d p_{v_j}(s_j) + o(k_n^{-1})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} k_n^{-1} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{dc}{du_j} (1 - 2s_j) + \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{d^2 c}{du_j^2} s_j (1 - s_j) \right\} + o(k_n^{-1}).$$

The last equality is obtained by using the mean and variance of the Binomial distribution and the fact that

$$\frac{k_n^d}{2} \sum_{v} \left\{ \int_{B_v} \left( u_l - s_l \right)^2 \, du_l \right\} \prod_{j=1}^d p_{v_j}(s_j) = O(k^{-d}).$$
Now, we compute the variance of the Bernstein density copula estimator. This is given by the following proposition.

**Proposition 2 (Asymptotic Variance).** Let \( \hat{c} \) be the Bernstein density copula estimator of \( c \) as defined in (4). Then, for \( s \in (0,1)^d \), under condition (1) and if \( n^{-1}k_n^{-d/2} \to 0 \), we have

\[
\text{Var}(\hat{c}(s)) = n^{-1}k_n^{-d/2}V(s) + o(n^{-1}k_n^{-d/2})
\]

where \( V(s) = (4\pi)^{-d/2} \frac{c(s)}{\prod_{j=1}^d(s_j(1-s_j))^{1/2}} \).

Note that the formula of the variance at \( s = 0 \) and \( s = 1 \) is given by Sancetta and Satchell (2004). We see that the variance increases with dimension \( d \) and it increases near the boundary because of the term \( (s_j(1-s_j))^{1/2} \) in the denominator of \( V(s) \).

**Proof.** We have

\[
\text{Var}(\hat{c}(s)) = \frac{1}{n} \text{Var}(K_{k_n}(s, S_i)) + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (1 - n^{-1}i) \text{Cov} \left( (K_{k_n}(s, S_1), K_{k_n}(s, S_i)) \right).
\]

First, under condition (1) and using Billingsley’s inequality, Lemma (3.1) in Bosq (1996) and that \( \|K_{k_n}(s, S_i)\|_{\infty} = O(k_n^{d/2}) \), we obtain

\[
\frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (1 - n^{-1}i) \text{Cov} \left( (K_{k_n}(s, S_1), K_{k_n}(s, S_i)) \right) = o(n^{-1}k_n^{d/2}).
\]

Second,

\[
\frac{1}{n} \text{Var}(K_{k_n}(s, S_i)) = \frac{k_n^{2d}}{n} \sum_{v} \text{Var}(A_{S_i,v}) \prod_{j=1}^d p_{v,j}^2(s_j)
\]

From Sancetta and Satchell (2004)

\[
\text{Var}(A_{S_i,v}) = \frac{c(v_1, \ldots, v_d)}{k_n^d} + o(k_n^{-d}).
\]

Hence

\[
\frac{1}{n} \text{Var}(K_{k_n}(s, S_i)) = \frac{k_n^{2d}}{n} \sum_{v} \left( \frac{c(v_1, \ldots, v_d)}{k_n^d} + o(k_n^{-d}) \right) \prod_{j=1}^d p_{v,j}^2(s_j)
\]

\[
= \frac{k_n^{2d}}{n} \left[ \sum_{\nu \in I} (\cdot) + \sum_{\nu \in I^c} (\cdot) \right]
\]

\[
= V_1 + V_2
\]
where

\[ I = \left\{ v = (v_1, \ldots, v_d) ; \frac{|v_j - s_j|}{k_n} < k_n^{-\delta}, j = 1, \ldots, d \quad 1/3 < \delta < 1/2 \right\} \]

Let's start with the second term \( V_2 \). By considering the notations \( m = \sup_s (c(s)) \), and when \( v \in I^c \) this means that there exists \( d_0 \), for \( 1 \leq d_0 \leq d \), elements of \( v_j \) such that \( \frac{|v_j - s_j|}{k_n} > k_n^{-\delta} \), we have

\[
V_2 = \sum_{v \in I^c} \left( \frac{c(v_1, \ldots, v_d)}{k_n^d} + o(k_n^{-d}) \right) \prod_{j=1}^d p_{v_j}(s_j) \\
\leq \frac{mk_n^d}{n} \prod_{j=1}^{d_0} \sum_{j = d_0 + 1}^{\frac{d_0}{k_n - s_j} > k_n^{-\delta}} p_{v_j}^2(s_j) \left( \prod_{j=d_0+1}^d \sum_{j = k_n - s_j < k_n^{-\delta}} p_{v_j}^2(s_j) \right) \\
\leq \frac{m}{n} k_n^{d/2} \left( k_n^{-7/2 d_0} \right) = o(n^{-1} k_n^{d/2}).
\]

For the last inequality, on the one hand, we use

\[
\sum_{|v_j/k_n-s_j| > k_n^{-\delta}} p_{v_j}^2(s_j) \leq \left( \sum_{|v_j/k_n-s_j| > k_n^{-\delta}} p_{v_j}(s_j) \right)^2 = O(k_n^{-2}), \quad \text{from Lorentz (1953).}
\]

On the other hand, from Laplace’s formula we have

\[
\frac{k_n^{\frac{1}{2}} p_{v_j}^2(s_j)}{P_{v_j}(s_j)} \rightarrow 1, \quad \text{as} \quad k_n \rightarrow \infty
\]

where

\[
P_{v_j}(s_j) = \frac{k_n^{1/2}}{2\pi s_j(1-s_j)} \int_0^{1-s_j} \exp \left[ -\frac{k_n}{s_j(1-s_j)} (t-s_j)^2 \right] dt.
\]

Let \( v_j' \) and \( v_j'' \) be the smallest and the biggest integers such that \( |v_j/k - s_j| \leq k_n^{-\delta} \). Using the Laplace’s formula and the change of variables we get

\[
\sum_{|v_j/k_n-s_j| \leq k_n^{-\delta}} p_{v_j}^2(s_j) \approx \frac{1}{2\pi s_j(1-s_j)} \int_{s_j}^{s_j''} \exp \left[ -\frac{k_n}{s_j(1-s_j)} (t-s_j)^2 \right] dt \\
= \frac{k_n^{-1/2}}{2\sqrt{\pi s_j(1-s_j)} \sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{v_j}^{v_j''} \exp \left(-y^2/2 \right) dy
\]

where \( v_{j_1} = \sqrt{\frac{2k_n}{s_j(1-s_j)}} \left( \frac{v_j'}{s_j'} - s_j \right) \) and \( v_{j_2} = \sqrt{\frac{2k_n}{s_j(1-s_j)}} \left( \frac{v_j''}{s_j''} - s_j \right) \). Note that, when \( k_n \rightarrow \infty \), then \( j_1 \rightarrow -\infty \) and \( j_2 \rightarrow +\infty \), because \( s_j - v_j'/k \leq k_n^{-\delta}, v_j''/k - s_j \leq k_n^{-\delta}, \) and \( \delta < 1/2 \). Thus,

\[
\sum_{|v_j/k_n-s_j| \leq k_n^{-\delta}} p_{v_j}^2(s_j) = O(k_n^{-1/2}), \quad \text{as} \quad k_n \rightarrow \infty.
\]
Now, for $V_1$

$$V_1 = k_{n}^{2d} \sum_{v \in I} \left( \frac{\binom{d_v}{k_n^{d_v}}}{k_n^{d_v}} \right) \prod_{j=1}^{d} p_{v_j}^2(s_j) + o(k_n^{-d}) \prod_{j=1}^{d} p_{v_j}^2(s_j)$$

$$= \frac{k_n^{d}}{n} c(s) \left( \prod_{j=1}^{d} \sum_{v \in I} p_{v_j}^2(s_j) \right) + o(n^{-1}k_n^{d/2}), \text{ because } s_j \approx \frac{v_j}{k_n}$$

$$= n^{-1}k_n^{d/2}(4\pi)^{-d/2} \frac{c(s)}{\prod_{j=1}^{d} (s_j(1-s_j))^{1/2}} + o(n^{-1}k_n^{d/2}).$$

For $\alpha$-mixing dependent data, the uniform almost sure convergence of the Bernstein density copula estimator is stated in the following proposition.

**Proposition 3** (Uniform a.s. Convergence). Suppose that the density copula function $c$ is twice differentiable and that $\{S_i\}$ is an $\alpha$-mixing sequence with coefficient $\alpha(h) = O(h^{\rho})$, for some $0 < \rho < 1$. Let $\hat{c}$ be the Bernstein density copula estimator of $c$ as defined in (4). Then, if $k_n \to \infty$ such that $n^{-1/2}k_n^{d/4} \ln(n) \to 0$, we have

$$\sup_{s} |\hat{c}(s) - c(s)| = O(k_n^{-1} + n^{-1/2}k_n^{d/4} \ln(n)), \text{ a.s.}$$

**Proof.** From the bias term and under the assumption that $c$ is twice differentiable, we have

$$\sup_{s} |E(\hat{c}(s)) - c(s)| = O(k_n^{-1}).$$

If we denote

$$Y_{n,i} = \frac{1}{n} K_{k_n}(s, S_i),$$

then we can show that

$$R_2(n) = \sup_{i} E|Y_{n,i}|^{1/2} = O(n^{-1}k_n^{d/4})$$

and $|Y_{n,i}| \leq n^{-1}k_n^{d/2}$. Hence, under the above conditions on the bandwidth parameter and applying Theorem (3.2) from Liebscher (1996) to $Y_{n,i}$, we get

$$\sup_{s} |E(\hat{c}(s)) - c(s)| = O(n^{-1/2}k_n^{d/4} \ln(n)) .$$

The next proposition establishes the asymptotic normality of the Bernstein density copula estimator for $\alpha$-mixing dependent data. This result can be applied in many contexts. We can use it for example to build copula-based tests of goodness-fit and conditional independence.
Proposition 4 (Asymptotic Normality). Suppose that the density copula function $c$ is twice differentiable and that $\{S_i\}$ is an $\alpha$–mixing sequence with coefficient $\alpha(h) = O(h^\rho)$, for some $0 < \rho < 1$. Let $\hat{c}$ be the Bernstein density copula estimator of $c$ as defined in (4). Then, if $k_n \to \infty$ such that $k_n = O(n^{2/(4+d)})$, we have

$$n^{1/2}k_n^{-d/4} \frac{\hat{c}(s) - c(c) - k_n^{-1} \gamma^*(s)}{\sqrt{V(s)}} \to N(0,1).$$

Remark that if we choose $k_n = O(n^{2/(4+d)})$, then the bias term disappears.

Proof. Based on Proposition (1), we need to show that

$$n^{1/2}k_n^{-d/4} \left( \frac{\hat{c}(s) - E(\hat{c}(s))}{\sqrt{V(s)}} \right) \to N(0,1), \text{ for } s \in (0,1)^d. \quad (5)$$

If we denote

$$Y_i = \frac{K_{k_n}(s, S_i) - E(K_{k_n}(s, S_i))}{\sqrt{V(s)}},$$

then

$$\left( n^{1/2}k_n^{-d/4} \frac{\hat{c}(s) - E(\hat{c}(s))}{\sqrt{V(s)}} \right) = n^{-1/2}k_n^{-d/4} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \equiv n^{-1/2} I_n.$$

We follow Doob’s method to show the asymptotic normality for dependent random vectors, see Doob (1953). We consider the variables $V_i = k_n^{-d/4}(Y_{(i-1)(p+q)+1} + \cdots + Y_{ip+(i-1)q})$ and $V_i^* = k_n^{-d/4}(Y_{ip+(i-1)q+1} + \cdots + Y_{i(p+q)})$. For $r(p+q) \leq n \leq r(p + q + 1)$,

$$I_n = \sum_{i=1}^r V_i + \sum_{i=1}^r V_i^* + k_n^{-d/4} \sum_{i=r(p+q)}^n Y_i. \quad (6)$$

We can show that

$$n^{-1/2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^r V_i^* + k_n^{-d/4} \sum_{i=r(p+q)}^n Y_i \right) \xrightarrow{P} 0.$$

Indeed, if we choose $r \sim n^a, p \sim n^{1-a}, and q \sim n^c$, where $0 < a < 1$ and $0 < c < 1 - a$, we get

$$n^{-1} \text{Var} \left( \sum_{i=1}^r V_i^* \right) = O(n^{a+c-1}), \quad \text{and} \quad n^{-1}k_n^{-d/2} \text{Var} \left( \sum_{i=r(p+q)}^n Y_i \right) = O(n^{a_1}).$$

The two last terms in the right side of (6) are asymptotically negligible.

Now, we show that $V_i$ are asymptotically mutually independent. Let $U_i = \exp(itV_i)$ which is $\mathcal{F}_i$-measurable, where $i = (i-1)(p+q)+1$ and $j = ip+(i-1)q$, hence from Volkonski and Razanov
Lastly, we employ the Lyapounov's theorem for the asymptotic normality of $n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} V_i$. If we choose $a > \frac{d+2}{d+4}$, we obtain,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} E(|V_i|^3) \leq \frac{\|V_i\|_{\infty} (r \text{var}(V_1))^{-1/2}}{(r \text{var}(V_1))^{3/2}} \leq p k_n^{-d/4} \|K_{k_n}(s, t)\|_{\infty} (r \text{var}(V_1))^{-1/2} \leq O(n^{\frac{d+2}{d+4}}) = o(1) \quad \text{because} \quad \|K_{k_n}(s, X_t)\|_{\infty} = O(k^{d/2}).$$

\[ \square \]

4 Conclusion

A nonparametric Bernstein polynomial-based estimator of density copula for dependent data is provided. The proposed estimator can be applied in several contexts, and we can use it to build copula-based tests of, for example, goodness-fit and conditional independence, see Bouezmarni, Rombouts, and Taamouti (2008). We provide the exact asymptotic bias and variance of the Bernstein copula density estimator and we establish its uniform strong consistency, and the asymptotic normality. Our results can be extended to the right censored data using smoothed Kaplan-Meier estimator instead of the empirical distribution function. A bandwidth choice in practice remains an open question and existing methods like cross-validation can be investigated.
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