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Abstract—Partial discharge (PD) detection is a widely extended technique for electrical insulation diagnosis. Ultrahigh-frequency detection techniques appear as a feasible alternative to traditional methods owing to their inherent advantages such as the capability to detect PDs online and to locate the piece of equipment with insulation problems in substations and cables. In this paper, four antennas are thoroughly studied by means of their theoretical and experimental behavior when measuring electromagnetic pulses radiated by PD activity. The theoretic study of the band of frequencies in which the pulse emits and the measurement of the parameters $S_{11}$ are complemented with the frequency response and wavelet transform of a set of 500 time signals acquired by the antennas, and the results are analyzed in detail.

Index Terms—Antenna measurements, dielectric measurements, partial discharges (PDs), UHF measurements, ultrahigh-frequency (UHF) antennas, wavelets.

I. INTRODUCTION

ELECTRICAL INSULATION is a key issue in power system reliability. It is well known that oil-impregnated paper in power transformers, epoxy resins in generators, and polyethylene in power cables are subjected to several mechanical, thermal, and electrical stresses that degrade their behavior, leading to unexpected failures of these expensive assets and to power outages [1]. A well-known aging mechanism of electrical stress is partial discharge (PD) activity [2]. PDs are low-energy ionizations that take place in microscopic sites of electrical insulation due to its lack of homogeneity in permittivity and dielectric strength. This is typical in air voids within solid and liquid insulations where, even rated voltages applied to the power apparatus, provoke ionizations of the air. PDs do not cause an immediate failure of electrical insulation but degrade its properties due to chemical and physical attack [3]. Moreover, PDs can be a symptom of other aging mechanisms mentioned previously [4]. For all these reasons, PD measurements have been standardized as tests for electrical equipment maintenance [5]. In these classical tests, a capacitive branch is connected to the equipment terminals to detect high-frequency pulses created from PD. The pulse amplitude is represented superimposed to the phase of the applied voltage (phase resolved PD patterns) in order to distinguish between different kinds of PDs [2]. However, PD measurements are usually made in industrial facilities where high levels of electrical noise are always present. This makes difficult the interpretation of the PD pattern and the diagnosis of the insulation. PD recognition is done by analyzing PD pulse waveforms acquired with inductive devices as high-frequency current transformers (HFCs), Rogowski coils, or inductive loops [6]–[8]. In any case, these measurements require the disconnection of electrical equipment before installing the measurement setup. In addition, all these techniques cannot locate PD sources geometrically, which could be useful for power equipment maintenance. Electroacoustic and ultrahigh-frequency (UHF) emissions from PDs can be measured to overcome these limitations [9]. The first option uses piezoelectric sensors to detect pressure waves propagating through oil, which rejects any electrical noise coupling to the acquired signals [10]. However, these sensors cannot detect PD occurring inside solid dielectrics; they have typically low sensitivity and narrow band, which makes it difficult to detect PDs that are close in time [9]. As mentioned before, another new research trend for PD detection is the use of antennas for UHF detection of PDs. This technique is based on noncontact measurements, so its application to online measurements is appropriate [11]–[13]. These sensors can also be used for any kind of insulating material and give excellent results in PD location in large facilities such as substations [14]. Moreover, the increasing number of high-voltage (HV) dc applications in power grids requires that PDs are detected without synchronization signals [15], which can be solved with antennas. The main drawback of PD detection through antennas is the presence of noise sources due to FM, television (TV), Global System for Mobile Communications (GSM), and WiFi emissions, so the antenna response to both PD and noise is an interesting research topic for PD detection [16], [17]. The comparison of several antennas had been presented before [12], but a deeper analytical background for these devices (monopole, zigzag, cone shaped, etc.) was missing in order to model PD and noise detection capability. A good theoretical model for patch antennas is found in [18] and [19], but the response to PD and noise sources is not presented.

This paper is an important step forward in the modeling of the antennas and the study of the PD power with respect to the results presented in [17], where the authors compared the power spectra for different types of antennas when measuring internal
PDs without any further analysis on the antenna design and parametrization. First, through a typical Gaussian pulse model for PD, the spectrum of the signal derived in the antenna is analytically obtained. Furthermore, a relationship between the half-amplitude PD pulsewidth and the PD placing in frequency and its bandwidth is given. Second, $S_{11}$ parameters are measured to validate the antenna design and the antenna matching, to ensure that the manufacturing has been correct, and to guarantee that the antennas will measure in the band of frequencies of interest. Additionally, the $S_{11}$ is measured for antennas with and without ground plane. Third, a new test object is designed to create a cylindrical hollow inside a stack of transformer paper layers and to control the PD activity and the results derived from their study. Fourth, new energy studies are done based on the wavelet transform and representing the energy in different bands of frequency to compare the behavior of the antennas.

In summary, in this paper, four different types of antennas, i.e., two monopoles with different lengths, a trapezoidal zigzag antenna, and a commercial logperiodic antenna, are studied as candidates to measure PDs. In Section II, PD electromagnetic emission is characterized in order to find the target band of frequencies where the manufactured antennas should work; also, the design and important parameters of the antennas are shown, and the $S_{11}$ parameter is measured for all of them. Section III explains the measuring setup to generate and detect PDs. Finally, Section IV shows the measurements and the study in the frequency domain of the pulses acquired with the antennas to conclude that theoretical and experimental results match and, specifically, monopole antennas are good candidates as sensors for PD detection.

II. MODELING OF THE ANTENNAS

When designing an antenna for sensing purposes, one of the key issues to take into account is the type of signal that this antenna should detect, specifically the bandwidth that the signal occupies. A simplified model for the PD pulses can be found in the literature [18], where the waveform is modeled with a Gaussian shape and the half-amplitude width is given by a parameter $T_h$. The response of the antenna follows the time derivative of the PD current $I(t)$, and therefore, under this model, the spectrum $S_{PD}(f)$ of the signal that the antenna should detect can be easily obtained. If the normalized ($I_0 = 1$) PD waveform is given by

$$I(t) = I_0 e^{-\left( \frac{t}{T_h} \right)^2}$$

(1)

where $t_0 = T_h/2\sqrt{\log 2}$, then the amplitude of the spectrum sensed would have the form

$$|S_{PD}(f)| = 2\pi \sqrt{\pi} f t_0 e^{-\frac{(2\pi f t_0)^2}{4}}$$

(2)

also plotted in Fig. 1.

It should be noticed that, through that formulation, the spectrum has been characterized in terms of the normalized frequency $f_n = f \times T_h$. This allows, by solving numerically for the 3-dB bandwidth, to obtain the PD bandwidth in terms of the $T_h$ parameter. For that, it is necessary to obtain the two solutions $f_n^{\min}$ and $f_n^{\max}$ for $f_n \geq 0$ from this equation

$$\frac{1}{2} \max_{f_n} |S_{PD}(f_n)| = \frac{\pi \sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{\log 2}} f_n e^{-\frac{\pi^2 f_n^2}{4 \log 2}}.$$  

(3)

The solutions are $f_n^{\min} = 0.12$ and $f_n^{\max} = 0.72$. Consequently, the signal would be approximately located in the band of $0.12/T_h - 0.72/T_h$ Hz, as shown marked with a thicker trace in Fig. 1. The relationship obtained given those values for the 3-dB bandwidth and considering that the typical values of $T_h$ for internal discharges are below 1 ns will locate the detected signal in the UHF band. Those are shown in Fig. 2, where the PD bandwidth is plotted versus the $T_h$ parameter and, as a reference, the UHF band is also given. Thus, all the antennas proposed should at least cover part of this band.

Once the antenna working frequencies are located, there are some other antenna characteristics that should be defined to specifically match our sensing environment, and those are the radiation pattern, which also characterizes the antenna directivity, and the antenna efficiency by means of the $S_{11}$ parameter.

The radiation pattern needed is determinant in the design of an antenna, and our focus for the application addressed should be radiation patterns with medium to low directivity.

![Fig. 1. Approximate bandwidth of the detected PD generated signal.](image1)

![Fig. 2. Range of $T_h$ values that fall within the UHF band.](image2)
for instance, omnidirectional ones. The reason for that is that, although, in our case study, the measurement environment is controlled and, therefore, we have information about the position of the PD source, it is still interesting to cover as many directions for incoming power as possible, showing this way the suitability of the proposed designs where the source of PD is not clearly located. Also, simple designs are of interest, since, once we had shown the validity of the proposed antennas in the testing scenario, large deployment of elements is typically needed for monitoring and location leveraging the importance of inexpensive sensors.

The antenna efficiency is the second parameter to be taken into account when designing antennas. Efficiency depends on the antenna losses given by the ohmic losses of materials (metals and dielectric) and also on the mismatching losses, i.e., the $S_{11}$ parameter. In simple resonant antennas and in the low-frequency range, we are targetting, the ohmic losses are negligible, and the antenna efficiency can be defined as $e = 1 - |S_{11}|^2$. Thus, the $S_{11}$ parameter would be the reference measurement to determine the resonant frequency of the antenna, the range of frequencies where the antenna is well matched (antenna bandwidth),\(^1\) and also the key parameter to determine the antenna efficiency for each of the working frequencies of the antenna.

Monopole antennas hold the design needs mentioned so far: They are simple and have omnidirectional patterns, and in addition to that, it is relatively simple to tune the antenna to work in a particular range of frequencies [13]. The monopole antenna in its basic design consists of a wire with a length of approximately $\lambda/4$, with $\lambda$ being the wavelength of the main frequency tuned [20]. Compared to a dipole, this antenna does not need a balun, and this makes it much more convenient and, therefore, more used in practice. In theory, this antenna should have an “infinite” ground plane to have a good behavior and also to achieve the omnidirectional radiation pattern with a maximum directivity of around 5 dB.

Thus, the radiation pattern of an ideal $\lambda/4$ monopole antenna has a shape as

$$r(\theta, \phi) = \frac{\cos^2 \left( \frac{\pi}{4} \cos(\theta) \right)}{\sin^2(\theta)}$$

where $0 \leq \phi \leq 2\pi$ is the azimuth angle defined in the $(\hat{x} \hat{y})$ plane, $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi/2$ is the elevation angle, and we assume that the monopole antenna has its axis along the $\hat{z}$-direction, as it is shown in Fig. 3. It should be noted that the radiation pattern does not depend on $\phi$, leading to the omniazimuthal (radiation all around the wire with rotational symmetry) radiation pattern, and also that, given the infinite ground plane, it radiates only in half-space.

When the monopole has a truncated ground plane of not many wavelengths, the directivity is reduced. We must remember here that the directivity gives the limit value for the antenna gain, which is the product of directivity and efficiency. Nevertheless, it should also be said that it is always possible to do a monopole antenna without ground plane. In this case, all surrounding objects act as ground plane, and we can see how the antenna still works. However, the efficiency is reduced, and sometimes, the operating frequency is shifted with respect to the theoretical one. Also, different manufacturing methods of monopole antennas could lead to slightvariations with respect to the ideal radiation pattern, but in general terms, all of them keep the zero radiation in the direction of the axis $\hat{z}$ and a similar level of radiation in all the azimuthal directions.

We suggest then to use three different monopoles that have been manufactured with this aim with and without ground plane. Two of them will have different lengths to cover the target range of frequencies, and for the last one, a zigzag geometry is proposed, which is known to help as well in matching and, therefore, could be more efficient. The first monopole design is 5 cm in length. With that, the theoretical resonant frequency is at 1.5 GHz, and its directivities are around 2 dB for the deployment without ground plane and 5 dB for the infinite ground plane. The second monopole is 10 cm in length, and this again leads to a 750-MHz resonating frequency and the same directivities as those for the 5-cm case. The zigzag geometry antenna has a maximum length of 10 cm (16.5 cm when it is straightened), and therefore, its behavior is supposed to be in between the one of the 10-cm monopole and that corresponding to a monopole with 16.5 cm (which has a 450-MHz resonance frequency). The zigzag geometry can have advantages in terms of efficiency (matching) when the antenna has no ground plane.

A fourth antenna is also proposed to be used in the testing environment, and this is a commercial logperiodic antenna UHALP 91088A [21], which is a wideband antenna. This antenna is physically much bigger than the previous proposed dipoles (the largest dimension is 54 cm) and, therefore, does not match our requirement for simple designs. However, it is also interesting to measure with this antenna for comparison purposes and with the idea of scanning a large number of frequencies for PD detection. In the working band of the antenna, its gain is around 6–7 dB according to the manufacturer. Another important consideration is that the antenna has a “pencil-type” radiation pattern pointing in the direction of its axis.

In order to validate the proposed antenna designs and to determine the real matching frequencies, the $S_{11}$ parameter has been measured for the manufactured antennas, and also

\[\text{Fig. 3. Theoretical normalized radiation pattern for a } \lambda/4 \text{ monopole.}\]
for the commercial one with an Agilent Technologies E8364B (10 MHz–50 GHz) programmable network analyzer in a laboratory facility (unshielded environment). The results are shown in Figs. 4–6.

If we observe the resonant frequencies and compare them with the theoretical frequencies given previously in this same section, we can detect a slight deviation in some of the values that is mainly due to the antenna manufacturing process. In any case, these deviations do not reduce the validity of the study, given that the working frequencies of the manufactured antennas are still within the target range of frequencies. Moreover, comparing Figs. 4 and 5, we can observe the benefit in terms of better adaptation of the antennas with ground plane. It should be noted that the manufactured antennas also work in harmonic frequencies as all type of resonant antennas.

III. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

Due to the fact that PDs are stochastic processes depending on several factors such as applied voltage level, insulation aging status, and environmental conditions [3], the setup has to be carefully designed to obtain repetitive results. Moreover, receptivity in the UHF band depends on the metallic structures around the antennas and, as tests were carried out in an HV laboratory, there were plenty of them, so the antennas had to be deployed close to the test object.

A. Test Object Design

PDs were generated in a controlled test object to ensure a constant and predictable PD activity (Fig. 7). Eleven sheets of transformer paper were cut into 8 cm × 8 cm squares and stacked, placing three sheets on top, piercing five with a needle, and placing the remaining three sheets at the bottom. The stack was introduced in a plastic bag, the air was removed with a vacuum machine, and, then, the stack was sealed. This layout creates a cylindric hole measuring 1 mm in diameter and 1.75 mm in height, where the dielectric permittivity is lower...
than the paper’s. Then, the sealed stack is placed between two electrodes and immersed in transformer oil to minimize the appearance of surface discharges along the plastic bag. One of the electrodes is connected to HV, and the other is connected to ground. When HV is applied to the stack, the electric field will be larger inside the cylinder than in the rest of the homogeneous dielectric, and most of internal PDs will occur in that region.

According to standard IEC 60270, a coupling capacitor is connected in parallel to the test object to provide a path to ground for the high-frequency current pulses created by PDs (see Fig. 8). These conducted pulses are measured with an HFCT with a bandwidth up to 40 MHz connected to an oscilloscope to confirm that the detected UHF pulses are a consequence of PD activity.

The HV source is a Schleich BV 702210 transformer with a GLP1-e HV control module that can reach up to 18 kV. It has been found that PD activity starts around 10 kV and it is stable. Hence, the HV source is slowly set slightly above the inception voltage, and the measuring campaign starts. Pulses were acquired at 11 kV.

B. Antenna Deployment

As explained previously, different antennas with different frequency ranges were used to measure the radiation of PDs: a logperiodic antenna UHALP 91088A with a range from 250 MHz to 2.4 GHz, two monopole antennas which are 5 and 10 cm long, and a trapezoidal zigzag antenna. As shown in Section II, monopolar antennas with an appropriate ground plane improve the reception due to the better matching of the resonant frequency and the augmented directivity. Under this assumption, two monopoles 10 cm long were manufactured, one with ground plane and the other without ground plane to measure the differences when detecting PDs. The trapezoidal zigzag antenna also had ground plane, but the monopole 5 cm long has no ground plane because it is so short that the connector behaves as ground plane.

The antennas are deployed around the test object, and their outputs are connected to an oscilloscope with RG-223 coaxial cables. The position of the antennas in the measurement environment is an important issue that should be taken into account, since the distance between the antenna and the source of the PD should force the antennas to work in the far-field region. The reason for that is to assure that we are working in a distance where the radiation pattern does not change with distance. Since the three manufactured antennas have dimensions smaller than $\lambda/2$, it is convenient that they are placed at a distance of approximately 1–2 $\lambda$ (40–80 cm for the monopole 10 cm long). In the case of the logperiodic antenna, this distance must be longer as the antenna size is larger. At the same time, it should be noted that the radiated field decays inversely proportional...
with the distance, and when comparing received signal levels, therefore, all antennas should be placed at the same distance from the PD source. Thus, special attention has been put to maintain the same distance, 45 cm, between the test object and all the monopole antennas, showing another advantage of the use of monopolar type of antennas as we can be quite close to the source of the discharges if required. The logperiodic antenna has been placed at a longer distance, 90 cm, to ensure that it measures far-field radiation and with the dipoles parallel to ground.

UHF acquisitions were made in a Tektronix DPO7254 8-b 40-GS/s four-channel oscilloscope, where the response of each antenna to PD pulses was registered. During the experiments in the laboratory, most factors were controlled to assure uniformity in the measurements, and series of 500 pulses were recorded at 10 GS/s and processed to guarantee that the results were statistically reliable.

IV. MEASUREMENTS

Measurements were taken to demonstrate experimentally the theoretical results for the characterized antennas obtained in Section II. A total of 500 signals was acquired for every antenna, first without and then with PDs. The fast Fourier transform with a rectangular window was calculated for all signals to obtain the spectra and then averaged to reduce its variance. This process is repeated whenever a frequency plot is displayed in this paper.

A. Background Noise

The first step is the characterization of the background noise present in the laboratory. This is done by measuring randomly 500 time signals acquired with all of the antennas and calculating their averaged spectra. The results are shown in Fig. 9, where FM radio, digital audio broadcasting (DAB), TV broadcasting, GSM—general packet radio service, and WiFi signals are clearly captured. In some cases, the antenna behavior is already seen in this figure. The 10-cm monopole and
the trapezoidal zigzag antenna have good response in the TV broadcasting band, whereas the 5-cm-long monopole has a poor reception at these frequencies. The logarithmic antenna has a flat response in the range of frequencies shown in the plots according to its datasheet, so it will be used as the reference for the rest of the antennas. The background noise for the 10-cm-long monopole and the trapezoidal zigzag antenna without ground plane was also acquired and was essentially the same as that detected with the monopole with ground plane, so they have not been plotted.

B. Monopoles 10 cm Long With and Without Ground Plane

Measurements were taken with these two antennas to check if there are significant differences in the acquisitions. In the case of the monopoles 10 cm long, the main frequency is 750 MHz, so receptivity should be good at this frequency and its multiples. Fig. 10 shows two PD pulses measured with these monopoles in a time window of 200 ns at 11 kV. Although they seem to be very similar, a closer study of the front wave shows that there is a larger high-frequency content in the signal acquired with the monopole with ground plane. This is better seen in the averaged spectra of 500 pulses taken with both antennas and shown in Fig. 11. As expected, the magnitudes in the band around 750–800 MHz have been increased, demonstrating that a ground plane improves the reception. Moreover, the band from 1100 to 1600 MHz has increased noticeably with the ground plane, and it is there, precisely, where the first multiple 1500 MHz lies.

C. Antenna Behavior

The next set of measurements is done for all the antennas. Actual pulses inside the dielectric have rise times shorter than 1 ns, so according to Fig. 2, the emission will approximately be in a wide band from 100 MHz to 2 GHz. An example of a PD pulse measured with the antennas is shown in Fig. 12. The pulse starts at the same time for all of the antennas but the logperiodic antenna because it was placed farther. Although the signals are different, they have the same structure: Before the trigger, there is background noise, then there are fast variations of the signal for the first nanoseconds due to the direct
wave propagation of the pulse and multipath propagation, and then there is radiation at lower frequencies due to the impulsive nature of the PD. Again, 500 time signals were acquired, and their spectra were calculated and averaged to obtain the frequency response of the antennas. The results are shown in Fig. 13. The differences with the plots in Fig. 9 are evident since there is energy in all the measured band up to 2.5 GHz that even hides strong broadcasting emissions of radio and TV. As expected, the monopole 5 cm long has an outstanding behavior in the band from 1100 to 1700 MHz and shows that PDs emit, at least, in that band. The monopole 10 cm long with ground plane has also good reception in this band but also at lower frequencies centered in 700 MHz where the 5-cm monopole is not so good. The trapezoidal zigzag antenna with ground plane has more sensitivity around 500 MHz, although it can also measure energy in the higher frequency band as the first two antennas. Finally, the logperiodic antenna captures energy in all the bands as expected.

The cumulative power in bands of 250 MHz has been calculated and is plotted in Fig. 14 to have a better understanding of the power distribution in frequency. In this case, the magnitude at every frequency of the spectra is divided into the power distribution in frequency. In this case, the magnitude is shown as a dot per spectrum to have a measure of the dispersion of the acquisitions.

The logperiodic antenna can be considered as the reference because it has almost a flat response up to 2.5 GHz. Then, it can be clearly seen in its frequency response that PD pulses have energy in all bands up to 1750 MHz. From this frequency, the differences between noise and PD power are negligible in all the antennas. The plot for the logperiodic antenna also shows that the increments in power compared to the background noise are quite constant in the rest of the bands. Considering this premise, the specific antenna behavior in frequency can be easily deduced from the rest of the plots. In those bands where the increment in power is larger, the antenna has better response than when the increment is lower. Then, the 5-cm monopole has an outstanding response in the band from 1250 to 1500 MHz, a good response from 1000 to 1250 MHz and from 1500 to 1750 MHz, and a very poor response in the band from 500 to 1000 MHz. The 10-cm monopole with ground plane has an overall good response from 1000 to 1750 MHz, and for the rest of the band, it is very similar to the zigzag antenna. Compared to the rest of the monopoles, the behavior of the trapezoidal zigzag antenna with ground plane is not so good in the UHF band, and it seems to be only remarkable in the lowest frequency bands from 0 to 500 MHz, although this is clarified in Table I.

This table gives the same information as Fig. 14 but specifies the numerical values. The light gray shaded cells correspond to the last columns where the differences between noise and PDs are negligible. The dark gray shaded cells are frequencies where the differences are remarkable (10 dB or more), whereas the medium gray shade represents changes of at least 7 dB. In the case of the trapezoidal zigzag antenna, the effect of the PDs is not so noticeable, and two cells have been shaded with medium gray shade: One of them is at low frequencies, and the other is from 875 to 1250 MHz.

This study shows that the zigzag antenna is not a good candidate to measure PDs and, therefore, monopoles with long lengths should be discarded. However, the choice between the other two monopoles remains unclear because they seem to have similar behavior in frequency. An additional study based on the wavelet transform has been made to find out the best option. The wavelet transform decomposes the time signals into $N$ levels of details $D_n$, and an approximation $A_1$ using a filter bank. Then, the details are calculated by filtering the original signal in frequency intervals from $f_s/2^{n+1}$ to $f_s/2^n$, where $f_s$ is the sampling frequency and $n$ is the number of the detail. The discrete wavelet transform was done using a Daubechies wavelet with order 5 and seven levels of decomposition to cover the most important frequency intervals. These are shown for every detail in Table II. The approximation level is the signal that remains in the lower frequency interval of 0–39.0625 MHz.

### Table I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency Band (MHz)</th>
<th>0-250</th>
<th>250-500</th>
<th>500-750</th>
<th>750-1000</th>
<th>1000-1250</th>
<th>1250-1500</th>
<th>1500-1750</th>
<th>1750-2000</th>
<th>2000-2250</th>
<th>2250-2500</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 cm</td>
<td>845</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>72.4</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>491</td>
<td>2210</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>99.1</td>
<td>72.6</td>
<td>49.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>59.3</td>
<td>67.2</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>54.5</td>
<td>56.9</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>70.8</td>
<td>60.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 cm</td>
<td>2180</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>399</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>95.7</td>
<td>31.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>77.8</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>84.2</td>
<td>27.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zigzag</td>
<td>3640</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>92.2</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise</td>
<td>1140</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>84.9</td>
<td>64.5</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>43.1</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logperiodic</td>
<td>16900</td>
<td>3930</td>
<td>674</td>
<td>653</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>409</td>
<td>545</td>
<td>53.5</td>
<td>52.6</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>81.6</td>
<td>63.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Detail</th>
<th>Interval (MHz)</th>
<th>Noise</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D1</td>
<td>2500 - 5000</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2</td>
<td>1250 - 2500</td>
<td>GSM, UMTS, WiFi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3</td>
<td>625 - 1250</td>
<td>TV, GSM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D4</td>
<td>312.5 - 625</td>
<td>TV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D5</td>
<td>156.25 - 312.5</td>
<td>DAB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D6</td>
<td>78.125 - 156.25</td>
<td>FM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D7</td>
<td>39.0625 - 78.125</td>
<td>Inductive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>0 - 39.0625</td>
<td>Inductive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The discrete wavelet decomposition was applied to all 500 signals from the monopole antennas when measuring PD, then the energy of the signals in the details and the approximation was calculated, and, finally, the average energy per detail and approximation was calculated. This is shown in Fig. 15 for the three monopoles. The horizontal axis of the plot contains the approximation and the details ordered in the bands of frequency in Table II, and the vertical axis represents the average energy percentage. The zigzag antenna, white bars, captures more than 40% of the energy in the band of detail 5 which is where FM radio is. The energy in detail 5, mostly corresponding to DAB radio, and the approximation, HF band, is also significant. Therefore, the zigzag antenna is working in bands where the environmental noise is important and which hide the PD pulse. In the case of the monopole 10 cm in length, more than 50% of the energy is in the very high frequency band, whereas the monopole 5 cm in length captures more than 60% of the energy in the UHF band. This energy share is expected from the results obtained for the parameter $S_{11}$ and allows us to conclude that the shorter tested monopole is the most adequate to measure PD.

V. CONCLUSION

The theoretical analysis of the electromagnetic radiation of PD pulses done shows that sensors in the UHF range can detect them. Under this assumption, four antennas with different frequency behavior have been chosen to measure PDs. A deep experimental study concludes that the two monopoles 5 and 10 cm long have good responses at frequencies above 1000 MHz which corresponds to their $\lambda/4$ condition. The zigzag antenna is not so sensitive as the monopoles, but it is more appropriate for measuring at lower frequencies (below 500 MHz) because its behavior is that of a monopole with 16.5 cm in length. The logperiodic antenna is a good reference to compare the results, although its response is not so good as the monopoles for frequencies above 750 MHz. An additional theory can be measured with simple and inexpensive monopoles in an efficient manner.
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