Zero temperature landscape of the random sine-Gordon model
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Abstract

We present a preliminary summary of the zero temperature properties of the two-dimensional random sine-Gordon model of surface growth on disordered substrates. We found that the properties of this model can be accurately computed by using lattices of moderate size as the behavior of the model turns out to be independent of the size above a certain length (≈ 128 x 128 lattices). Subsequently, we show that the behavior of the height difference correlation function is of (log r) 2 type up to a certain correlation length (ξ ≈ 20), which rules out predictions of log r behavior for all temperatures obtained by replica-variational techniques. Our results open the way to a better understanding of the complex landscape presented by this system, which has been the subject of very many (contradictory) analyses.
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1. Introduction

The two-dimensional (2D) random-phase sine-Gordon model (RsGM) has attracted a lot of attention after Toner and di Vicenzo [1] introduced the concept of super-roughening. This system has been mainly studied in connection to surface growth on disordered substrates [2–16], but it is also very relevant in many other contexts such as vortex-line systems with random pinning [17], random-field vortex-free XY models [14], phase degrees of freedom of pinned charge-density waves [18,19], or Frenkel–Kontorova models [20]. In addition to its ability to describe many physical problems of interest, the importance of this model stems from the fact that the advances made in its investigation will certainly have far-reaching consequences in understanding the statical and dynamical landscape of glassy systems in general.

The RsGM is defined by the following hamiltonian:

\[ H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(i,j)} (\phi_i - \phi_j)^2 - V_0 \sum_i \cos(\phi_i - \phi_i^0), \]  

(1)

where \( \phi_i \) is a continuous variable on a square lattice, \( (i, j) \) stands for nearest neighbors, \( V_0 \) stands explicitly for the strength of the pinning potential compared to that of the stiffness/surface tension term, and \( \phi_i^0 \) are quenched (i.e., time-independent) uncorrelated random variables uniformly distributed in \( (0, 2\pi) \). This is nothing but a generalization of the sine-Gordon model (sGM), first introduced by Chui and Weeks [21] as

...
a continuous version of the solid-on-solid gaussian model (see, e.g., [22]). In this context, the random variable $\varphi_i^0$ represents simply deviation from flatness of the substrate on top of which the surface is growing. The equilibrium of the sGM is well known [21,22] and consists of two phases separated by a roughening temperature, $T_R$, above which the periodic term becomes irrelevant in the sense of the renormalization group (RG). Therefore, for $T > T_R$, the surface is rough because the cost of creating steps is strictly zero, whereas for $T < T_R$ the surface is macroscopically flat. In fact, even the nonequilibrium problem has been addressed and it is presently well understood (see [23] and references therein).

As regards the RsGM, the situation is considerably less clear. There is agreement between the different groups in that there must be a roughening temperature $T_R^*$ (which in principle might not coincide with $T_R$) as well, above which the surface ceases to feel the periodic potential, thus behaving exactly like the sGM. The arguments supporting this idea are again related to RG results, and can be briefly stated by saying that temperature renormalization of the sine term should wipe out the disorder. At present, $T_R^*$ is not well determined. The problems arise when $T < T_R^*$, as different techniques yield very different and contradictory results. A good summary of these is contained in [14], but the main point is that RG calculations predict a super-rough low temperature phase (super-rough meaning that the height-difference correlation function $C(r) \sim (\log r)^2$, diverging faster than in the high temperature phase, $C(r) \sim \log r$ if $T > T_R^*$), whereas replica-symmetry breaking calculations yield a quenching of $C(r)$, i.e., it becomes independent of temperature for $T < T_R^*$ and equal to that at $T_R^*$ (of logarithmic type as stated). The available works on this subject [2–14] have not solved this dilemma, and hence our present research is intended to shed some light on these questions.

2. Simulation results

We have carried out simulations of the RsGM using Langevin molecular dynamics (see [23] for details), which, at zero temperature, is a fully deterministic procedure that integrates the (overdamped) equations of motion, that read

$$\dot{\varphi}_i = \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} (\varphi_i - \varphi_j) + V_0 \sum_i \sin(\varphi_i - \varphi_i^0).$$

We have simulated systems of different sizes $L \times L$, ranging from $L = 32$ to $L = 512$ lattice sites. In all cases, we have verified that the final configuration obtained was (statistically) the same independently of the initial conditions. This is a nontrivial question, as the presence of disorder in this system could give rise to glassy behavior, in particular, to the appearance of many different ground states, as well as different basins of attraction for each one of them.

After this satisfactory check, we proceeded to establish which was the dependence on the lattice size. This we show in Fig. 1, where we plot the time evolution of the interface width, defined as $\langle \langle (\varphi_i - \langle \varphi_i \rangle)^2 \rangle \rangle_d$ ($\langle \cdots \rangle$ meaning average over the lattice, and $\langle \cdots \rangle_d$ average over substrates). We pick this quantity as a relevant global indicator of convergence to an asymptotic state but of course we monitored other quantities, such as the mean height or the mean velocity as independent checks of our conclusions (see also the discussion of correlation functions below). Snapshots of the final state (see Fig. 2) of the surface confirm this picture.

![Fig. 1. Log–log plot of the squared interface width (roughness) as a function of time for typical realizations and different lattice sizes. Inset: same plot with normal axes.](image-url)
as well. From all these magnitudes, in particular from the plots in Fig. 1, we conclude that systems with $L \geq 128$ yield basically the same results, and therefore we can discuss our measurements on those simulations as representative of large lattices behavior. We note in passing that the values we have obtained so far for the saturated roughness at zero temperature do not clarify whether it exhibits scaling [22] or not, although from Fig. 1 one can conjecture the existence of a dynamic exponent $\beta$. We will pursue further this issue in the near future.

We now come to the main point of the work we are summarizing in this paper. Fig. 3 collects the simulation program outcome about the correlation function for all the studied lattices averaged over 10 realizations. From this plot, we can conclude with a high degree of confidence that, first, our results are independent of the system size, and second, that the correlation function is of $(\log r)^2$ type (cf. the inset where we plot $C(r)$ versus $(\log r)^2$, yielding a clear straight line, for $L = 256$). Nevertheless, this is not the only information we extract from this function: Indeed, we also see that there is a well-defined correlation length $\xi \approx 20$ lattice units, beyond which the surface is uncorrelated. This characteristic value can also be seen in Fig. 2 as the typical length scale of the surface features.

3. Discussion and conclusions

Among the results summarized in the previous paragraph, we first discuss the finding of a particularly noted length scale. This is coherent with the fact that lattices of sizes above $64 \times 64$ yield basically the same results: This is to be expected if the surface characteristics do no extend beyond a range of about 20 sites. Preliminary analytical and numerical calculations show that this length arises at sites where the disorder takes values around $\pi$, which turn out to be the ones responsible for the most noticeable distortions of the surface. These distortions adopt a more or less conical form, their radius being very close to the correlation length $\xi$. Therefore, we provisorily conclude that at the physical roots for the appearance of a correlation length lies the effect of “more disordered” sites.

However, probably our most relevant achievement in this work is the fact that we have shown that the height-difference correlation function behaves very approximately like $(\log r)^2$. We do not claim that $C(r)$ is exactly a squared logarithm, as the contribution of corrections to this behavior cannot be excluded from the present state of our simulation program. Although this is something that remains to be settled,
what we do claim is that $C(r) = A(T^*_R) \log r$ for all $T < T^*_R$ including $T = 0$. We believe that our simulations rule out the possibility of this prediction being correct. Recent work from the Rome group analyzing higher moments of $C(r)$ supports this conclusion as well [24]; another group has subsequently found the same behavior [25].

We note that this conclusion raises the possibility of multiscale homogenization issues and associated averaging and nonergodicity features. On the other hand, we do not think that our results should be taken as grounds to establish the validity of RG calculations, because these are only expected to be valid in a temperature interval around $T^*_R$: RG predicts nothing about the very low temperature regime we are dealing with here. Therefore, till now we can only provide the negative result of the invalidation of variational predictions, whereas on the positive side we can only say that there are indications that RG results may be closer to the actual physical behavior. We hope that the extension of our results to nonzero temperatures, which we are currently addressing, will allow us to find out what is the actual landscape of this system and whether RG is still a good theory to understand it.
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