P-Values for non-standard distributions with an application to the DF test

Jerome Adda^{a,b}, Jesús Gonzalo^{b,*}

^aINRA 63 Boulevard de Brandenbourg, 95205 Ivry sur Seine, France

^bDepartment of Economics, Boston University, 270 Bay State Road, Boston, MA 02215, USA

Received 14 June 1995; accepted 23 August 1995

Abstract

The literature on unit roots and structural breaks has produced numerous tests that follow non-standard asymptotic distributions. By fitting a semi-non-parametric model to these distributions, this paper proposes a new simple way to calculate the *p*-values.

Keywords: DF Tests; Non-standard distributions; *P*-values; SNP approximations; Unit roots

JEL classification: C12; C14; C22

---

1. Introduction

Most tests for structural breaks and for unit roots follow non-standard asymptotic distributions. These distributions are summarized by reporting a set of critical values (CVs), i.e. 1%, 5% and 10%. With only this information applied researchers cannot calculate the *p*-values and therefore cannot set their own level of significance.

The paper presents a new way to approximate the *p*-values ($\hat{p}(x)$) by using the semi-non-parametric (SNP) techniques introduced by Gallant and Nychka (1987) and Fenton and Gallant (1994). Instead of reporting a set of CVs, we propose a set of parameters that describe a polynomial approximation to the non-standard empirical density. Simple integration of that polynomial produces approximate *p*-values. This can be an efficient way for econometrics software packages to store the empirical distributions of most of the unit root tests and structural break tests.

Previous attempts to approximate *p*-value functions for non-standard test statistics in econometrics were made by Hansen (1992, 1995)¹ and Mackinnon (1994). These works

* Corresponding author. E-mail: jgonzalo@acs.bu.edu.

¹ We received a copy of Hansen (1995) after this research was done.

basically approximate the p -value functions by $H(f(x, \theta))$, where $f(x)$ is a simple polynomial in x with parameters θ , and $H(\cdot)$ is the Normal distribution function in Mackinnon (1994), a transformation of the chi-square distribution in Hansen (1995) and the identity function in Hansen (1992). In Hansen (1992) and Mackinnon (1994) the parameters θ are estimated by a least squares regression of $H^{-1}(p)$ on a set of quantiles (x). The approach in Hansen (1995) differs in the sense of using an L^r norm, for large r , to measure the distance $|\hat{p}(x) - p|$.

This paper follows an approach that can be seen as being more general than that in the previous literature, in the sense of not being problem-specific. We do not need to assume any ad hoc $H(\cdot)$ function depending upon whether the test is a unit root test or a structural break test. We can also fit the whole p -value function with one model, instead of fitting by regions as in the previous literature.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents the general framework and methodology. Section 3 shows by a particular example of the DF test for unit roots how to approximate the p -values of a non-standard test in practice. Section 4 concludes.

2. The general framework and methodology

Our aim is to approximate a p -value function ($p(T_n)$) that maps an observed test statistic T_n into the appropriate value in the range $[0, 1]$. This test statistic has an asymptotic distribution $F(x)$ with a corresponding density function $f(x)$. We approximate the p -value function $p(x) = F(x)$ by integrating a polynomial approximation of the density function. To do this we simply put the density equal to a Hermite series following the SNP approach introduced by Gallant and Nychka (1987) and Fenton and Gallant (1994). This approach consists of approximating the density function of T_n by

$$f_n(x, \theta) = \left[\sum_{i=0}^k \theta_i w_i((x - m)/\sigma) \right]^2 / \sum_{i=0}^k \theta_i^2, \quad (1)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} w_0(x) &= (\sqrt{2\pi})^{-1/2} e^{-x^2/4}, \\ w_1(x) &= (\sqrt{2\pi})^{-1/2} x e^{-x^2/4}, \\ w_i(x) &= [xw_{i-1}(x) - \sqrt{(i-1)}w_{i-2}(x)]/\sqrt{i}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

The parameters $\theta = (m, \sigma, \theta_i)$ can be estimated by maximum likelihood as in Fenton and Gallant (1994). However, this paper proposes to estimate θ by minimizing the following loss function:

$$d_k(\theta) = \sum_{j=1}^q \left((1/\alpha_j) \left(\alpha_j - \int_{-\infty}^{cv_{\alpha_j}} f_n(x, \theta) dx \right) \right)^2, \quad (3)$$

where the first element of θ , θ_0 , is set to one and cv_{α_j} is the critical value at the $\alpha_j\%$ significance level. According to our experience the minimization problem (3) produces better approximations for the p -values and converges faster than the equivalent maximum likelihood problem.

The tuning parameters in (3) are

- (i) the q p -values to match ($p_1\%$, $p_2\%$, $\dots$, $p_q\%$), and
- (ii) the number k of parameters θ_i .

The next section shows how to choose these tuning parameters in a particular example.

3. An example: The DF test

The methodology introduced in Section 2 is valid for obtaining approximate p -values of any non-standard distribution. In this section we apply our method to the DF distribution.

Suppose we wish to test the null hypothesis that the variable y_t has a unit root. The simplest and most commonly used unit root tests are the DF tests. These tests are based on ordinary least squares estimates of any of the following regressions:

$$R(1): \Delta y_t = \lambda y_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^p \delta_i \Delta y_{t-i} + e_t,$$

$$R(2): \Delta y_t = \mu_0 + \lambda y_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^p \delta_i \Delta y_{t-i} + e_t,$$

$$R(3): \Delta y_t = \mu_0 + \mu_1 t + \lambda y_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^p \delta_i \Delta y_{t-i} + e_t,$$

where $\Delta y_t \equiv y_t - y_{t-1}$, t is a linear deterministic time trend, e_t is an error term, and λ is a parameter that equals zero under the null hypothesis of a unit root. The DF(i) test considered in this paper is the t -statistic for $\lambda = 0$ in the regression R(i). This test has a well-known non-standard asymptotic distribution (see Dickey and Fuller, 1979).

In order to calculate the approximated p -values of DF(i) ($i = 1, 2, 3$) we follow five simple steps:

(i) Calculate the quantiles (x) from the empirical distribution function of the DF(i) test constructed from 50 000 independent draws from their (approximate) asymptotic distributions. In each draw the sample size is set to $n = 2000$.

(ii) Standardize x by estimating the mean (m) and the standard deviation (σ) by their sample counterparts. This does not change the results but increases the speed of convergence of the minimization problem (3).

(iii) Decide which q p -values to match in (3). In this application we have chosen only 16 p -values (0.01, 0.02, 0.03, 0.05, 0.06, 0.08, 0.10, 0.12, 0.15, 0.17, 0.20, 0.25, 0.30, 0.40, 0.50, and 0.60). There is a higher concentration in the left tail because of the nature of the DF test.

(iv) Minimize the function $d_k(\theta)$ for different values of k . Plot $d_k(\theta_i)$ on k , as in Fig. 1, and choose k accordingly. From Fig. 1, k has been set equal to six.

(v) Calculate the approximate p -values by simple integration of $f_n(x, \theta)$ from $-\infty$ to cv_{α_i} .

Fig. 1. Log of objective function ($d_k(\vartheta)$) for the DF(3) test.

Once the θ_i parameters are estimated, the integration can be done by any standard software (GAUSS, MATHEMATICA, MATLAB, . . .).

Table 1 shows the estimated parameters of the polynomial approximation $f_n(x, \theta)$ and Table 2 the approximate p -values and the absolute error of the approximation. Notice that although

Table 1
Approximate empirical density of the DF t -test for $p \leq 0.60$

$$f_n(x, \theta) = \left[\sum_{i=0}^k \theta_i w_i((x - m)/\sigma) \right]^2 / \sum_{i=0}^k \theta_i^2$$

$$w_0(x) = (\sqrt{2\pi})^{-1/2} e^{-x^2/4}$$

$$w_1(x) = (\sqrt{2\pi})^{-1/2} x e^{-x^2/4}$$

$$w_i(x) = [xw_{i-1}(x) - \sqrt{i-1}w_{i-2}(x)]/\sqrt{i}$$

Parameter	DF(1)	DF(2)	DF(3)
m	-0.42483	-1.53206	-2.17745
σ	0.97505	0.83702	0.75122
θ_1	-0.30044	-0.65441	-0.04769
θ_2	0.02413	-0.61767	-0.09269
θ_3	0.50388	-0.23093	-0.10166
θ_4	0.51716	0.12300	-0.09983
θ_5	0.28817	0.17911	-0.06281
θ_6	0.02567	0.12666	-0.06952

only 16 p -values are chosen to be matched in (3), the approximation works uniformly well for all the p -values from 0.01 to 0.60. In fact, for the case we focus on in this application (DF(3)), the $\hat{p}(x)$ are very close to the true ones in the whole range $[0, 1]$.

Similar results are available for different sample sizes. They are not reported here to keep the paper short.

The calculations have been done using the Optimum library in GAUSS. The programs are available upon request.

Table 2
Approximate p -values for the DF t -test

p -values(%)	Approximate p -values			Absolute error		
	DF(1)	DF(2)	DF(3)	DF(1)	DF(2)	DF(3)
1	1.00319	0.99984	1.00320	0.00003	0.00000	0.00003
2	1.98983	2.00171	1.99539	0.00010	0.00002	0.00005
3	2.98137	2.99925	2.95448	0.00019	0.00001	0.00046
4	4.04239	3.99781	4.07692	0.00042	0.00002	0.00077
5	5.04151	4.96833	5.09336	0.00042	0.00032	0.00093
6	6.02473	6.05821	6.05094	0.00025	0.00058	0.00051
7	7.01476	6.99862	7.06335	0.00015	0.00001	0.00063
8	7.99055	7.96124	7.96878	0.00009	0.00039	0.00031
9	9.01392	8.94637	8.93215	0.00014	0.00054	0.00068
10	10.02747	9.97407	9.94182	0.00027	0.00026	0.00058
11	11.00684	11.08123	10.92839	0.00007	0.00081	0.00072
12	11.97744	12.05579	11.96005	0.00023	0.00056	0.00040
13	12.98543	13.05882	12.99713	0.00015	0.00059	0.00003
14	13.99103	14.04091	13.99807	0.00009	0.00041	0.00002
15	14.98372	15.05265	14.93359	0.00016	0.00053	0.00066
16	15.97357	15.93928	15.95400	0.00026	0.00061	0.00046
17	16.94230	16.90459	16.93799	0.00058	0.00095	0.00062
18	17.96399	17.94639	17.98578	0.00036	0.00054	0.00014
19	18.96067	18.96677	19.02162	0.00039	0.00033	0.00022
20	19.97044	20.00598	20.07539	0.00030	0.00006	0.00075
21	21.01644	21.01417	21.05782	0.00016	0.00014	0.00058
22	22.06442	21.99547	22.07475	0.00064	0.00005	0.00075
23	23.03593	22.97540	23.03562	0.00036	0.00025	0.00036
24	24.00016	24.00336	24.06584	0.00000	0.00003	0.00066
25	24.98652	25.02584	25.05570	0.00013	0.00026	0.00056
30	29.98828	29.97709	30.03994	0.00012	0.00023	0.00040
35	35.02969	34.99348	34.96467	0.00030	0.00007	0.00035
40	40.03144	39.99644	40.01450	0.00031	0.00004	0.00015
45	45.03378	44.88271	45.08477	0.00034	0.00117	0.00085
50	50.23323	50.03430	50.11525	0.00233	0.00034	0.00115
55	55.25007	55.08260	54.96449	0.00250	0.00083	0.00036
60	59.83218	59.98085	59.83991	0.00168	0.00019	0.00160
65	63.89082	64.84299	64.91459	0.01109	0.00157	0.00085
70	67.10778	69.25286	69.65490	0.02892	0.00747	0.00345

4. Conclusion

This paper shows a simple and general way to approximate the p -values of non-standard distributions.

References

- Dickey, D.A. and W.A. Fuller, 1979, Distribution of the estimators for autoregressive time series with a unit root, *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 74, 427–431.
- Fenton, V.M. and R.A. Gallant, 1994, Qualitative and asymptotic performance of SNP density estimator, *Mimeo*, University of North Carolina.
- Gallant, R.A. and D.W. Nychka, 1987, Semiparametric maximum likelihood estimation, *Econometrica* 55, 363–390.
- Hansen, B.E., 1992, Test for parameter instability in regressions with $I(1)$ processes, *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics* 10, 321–335.
- Hansen, B.E., 1995, Approximate asymptotic p -values for structural change tests, *Mimeo*, Boston College.
- Mackinnon, J.G., 1994, Approximate asymptotic distribution functions for unit-root and cointegration tests, *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics* 12, 167–176.